Modeling continuous traffic flow with the average velocity effect of multiple vehicles ahead on gyroidal roads
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Abstract
In the future connected vehicle environment, the information of multiple vehicles ahead can be readily collected in real-time, such as the velocity or headway, which provides more opportunities for information exchange and cooperative control. Meanwhile, gyroidal roads are one of the fundamental road patterns prevalent in mountainous areas. To effectively control the system, it is therefore significant to explore the evolution mechanism of traffic flow on gyroidal roads under a connected vehicle environment. In this paper, we present a new continuum model with the average velocity of multiple vehicles ahead on gyroidal roads. The stability criterion and KdV-Burger equation are deduced via linear and nonlinear stability analysis, respectively. Solving the above KdV-Burger equation yields the density wave solution, which explores the formation and propagation property of traffic jams near the neutral stability curve. Simulation examples verify that the model can reproduce complex phenomena, such as shock waves and rarefaction waves. The analysis of the local cluster effect shows that the number of vehicles ahead and the radius information, and the slope information of gyroidal roads can exert a great influence on traffic jams. The effect of the first and second terms are positive, while the last term is negative.
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Introduction
In the past decade, car ownership has significantly increased and poses tremendous pressure on urban traffic commuting, which raises serious issues of traffic pollution, traffic noise, and traffic safety. Improvement of traffic efficiency has attracted strong interest from both industry and the scientific community. In practice, a number of external countermeasures have been applied to ease traffic congestion, such as road marking redesign and one-way traffic management. Another branch focuses on understanding the formation and propagation mechanism of traffic jams to more effectively control the traffic system, yielding a variety of traffic flow models.

Methodologically, existing traffic flow models can be grouped into microscopic traffic flow models and macroscopic traffic flow models. The research subject of the former is each vehicle, focusing on the kinetic behavior of running vehicles, which is represented by car following models and cellular automata models. However, a sufficiently large number of vehicles will significantly complicate model development and problem-solving. In contrast, the latter analyzes traffic flow to compressible continuous fluid, thereby establishing a partial differential equation based on speed and density. By solving this equation, the relevant dynamic behavior of traffic flow can be explored, which is represented by lattice hydrodynamics models and continuous models. Compared with microscopic models, less simulation time is required for macroscopic models to replicate the overall characteristics of traffic flow, being independent of the number of vehicles.

Macroscopic traffic flow models originated from the LWR model proposed by Lighthill & Whitham and Richards[18–20], whereas the velocities in this model are always under equilibrium, which cannot analyze various equilibrium traffic phenomena. Payne[21] presented the first high-order continuum model by replacing the relationship of equilibrium velocity and density in the LWR model with the kinetic equations of velocity, in which the velocity is allowed to deviate from the equilibrium velocity. In 1995, Daganzo[22] found that the propagation velocity of small disturbances in Payne’s model was greater than the macroscopic velocity, which meant that the vehicle is restrained by the vehicles behind, and he criticized that the model violated the fundamental properties of anisotropy of traffic flow. Subsequently, Zhang[23] and Jiang et al.[24] substituted the density gradient term in previous continuum models with the velocity gradient term, and established the anisotropy of the macroscopic traffic flow model.

As an important branch of macroscopic traffic models, continuous models have gained wide attention from the scientific community. Interested readers are referred to the representative works in Table 1. Notwithstanding that, existing studies mostly focus on the kinetic behavior of traffic flow on regular roads, whereas research on continuous models on spiral roads is rare. In many rural and mountainous areas, the roads exhibit a gyroidal upward or downward pattern due to geology and geomorphology. Compared with regular roads, the force of vehicles driving on gyroidal roads is much more complicated.
The vehicles will not only be affected by gravity but also by centripetal force. However, existing traffic flow models on
gyroidal roads are mostly analyzed in the context of microscopic models. Given the practical and theoretical signi-
ficance of macroscopic models, it is imperative to propose a customized continuum model and analyze the formation and
spreading mechanism of perturbation waves on gyroidal roads.

With the advance of communication technology, the connected vehicle environment is expected to become com-
cmercially available in future transportation. Under such an environment, the information of multiple vehicles ahead can be readily collected in real-time, such as the velocity or headway, which provides more opportunities for information exchange and cooperative control. On review of the literature, no study
has focused on the stability characteristics of connected vehicle flow on gradient roads from the macroscopic perspective.

To effectively control the system, it is, therefore, significant to explore the evolution mechanism of traffic flow on gyroidal roads under a connected vehicle environment. This paper aims to fill these gaps and contributes to developing a new continuum model accounting for the average velocity of multiple vehicles ahead on gyroidal roads. The linear and nonlinear stability analysis of the proposed continuum model is carried out, and the corresponding stability area and the propagation mechanism of traffic density wave are obtained.

The structural organization of this paper is as follows: In the next section, a modified continuous model taking into account the average velocity effect of multiple vehicles ahead on gyroidal roads is proposed. Next, the stability criterion and correspondingly KdV-Burgers equation is deduced via the small perturbation method, respectively. In the penultimate section, a numerical example is carried out to verify theoretical analysis conclusions. Finally, the key conclusions are presented.

**Model**

In this section, we revisit the traditional model and introduce the rationale behind our proposed model. The primary notations used in this paper are listed in Table 2. In 1995, an optimal speed (OV) model was proposed by Bando et al. to explore the interaction between vehicles on a single lane. The kinetic equation is described as follows:

$$\frac{dv_n}{dt} = a[V^{op}(\Delta s_n) - v_n].$$

(1)

The optimal velocity function in the above equation is set as follows:

$$V^{op}(\Delta s_n) = \frac{v_{max}}{2} \left[\tanh(\Delta s_n - y_s) + \tanh(y_s)\right].$$

(2)

Later, Helbing & Tilch found that there were unreasonable acceleration and deceleration behaviors in the above OV model. To solve the problem, they argued that the velocity difference between the preceding vehicle and the current vehicle should be considered when the velocity of the current vehicle is less than following vehicles, thereby giving the generalized force (GF) model as follows:

$$\frac{dv_n}{dt} = a[V^{op}(\Delta s_n) - v_n] + AH(\Delta s_n \Delta v_n).$$

(3)

Jiang et al. used the GF model to simulate the starting process of the stationary vehicle and noticed that the starting wave speed of the model was too small. They argued that the velocity difference term also should be considered whether the current vehicle velocity is greater than the velocity of the preceding vehicle, yielding a full velocity difference (FVD) model, which is described as follows:

$$\frac{dv_n}{dt} = a[V^{op}(\Delta s_n) - v_n] + \lambda \Delta v_n.$$  

(4)

In the aforementioned works, vehicles are assumed to run on a regular road scene, that is, the road slope information is neglected. In many developing countries or rural mountainous areas, gyroidal road scenes are prevalent. The force of a vehicle running on gyroidal roads is much more complicated, which is not only affected by the gravity and driving force, but also by the centripetal force. Figure 2 portrays the force decomposition diagram of the vehicles running on the gyroidal road. To analyze the interaction between successive vehicles on this
special road scene, Zhu & Yu\textsuperscript{[53]} improved on the OV model and proposed a new traffic flow model as follows:
\[
\frac{d^2 s_n}{dt^2} = a \left[ V(\Delta s_n) - \frac{ds_n}{dt} \right]. \tag{5}
\]
The function \(V(\cdot)\) is expressed as:
\[
V(r\Delta \phi_n) = \frac{r \omega_{\text{max}} - V_{0,\text{max}}}{2} \left[ \tanh(r\Delta \phi_n - y_r(\theta)) + \tanh(y_r(\theta)) \right]. \tag{6}
\]
In order to determine \(\omega_{\text{max}}\), from the centripetal force formula, we can obtain the following equation:
\[
\omega^2_{\text{max}, r} = \frac{\mu g \cos \theta}{r}. \tag{7}
\]
Furthermore:
\[
\omega_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu g \cos \theta}{r}}. \tag{8}
\]
Substituting Eq (8) into Eq (6), we have:
\[
V(r\Delta \phi_n) = \frac{k \sqrt{\mu g r \cos \theta \sin \theta \omega}}{2} \sin \theta V_0(r\Delta \phi_n). \tag{9}
\]
where \(V_0(r\Delta \phi_n) = \tanh(r\Delta \phi_n - y_r(\theta)) + \tanh(y_r(\theta))\).

Incorporating Eq (9) into Eq (5), and introducing the intermediate variable \(\omega_{n, t}\), then we have:
\[
\frac{d\omega_{n, t}}{dt} = a \left[ \frac{k \sqrt{\mu g r \cos \theta \sin \theta \omega}}{2r} V_0(r\Delta \phi_n) - \omega_n \right]. \tag{10}
\]
where \(\omega_{n, t} = \frac{d\phi_{n, t}}{dt} - \frac{d^2 s_n}{dt^2}\).

With the advancements in communication technology, the information of multiple vehicles ahead can be readily collected in real-time, such as the velocity or headway, which provides more opportunities for information exchange and cooperative control. Based on this, we introduce the effect of the average velocity of multiple vehicles ahead, and a new macroscopic traffic flow model is given:
\[
\frac{d\omega_n}{dt} = a \left[ \frac{k \sqrt{\mu g r \cos \theta \sin \theta \omega}}{2r} V_0(r\Delta \phi_n) - \omega_n \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \omega_{n,i} - \omega_n. \tag{11}
\]
where \(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \omega_{n,i} - \omega_n\) represents the comprehensive velocity difference information between the average speed of multiple vehicles ahead and the current vehicle.

**Remark 1**: When \(l = 1\), only the velocity difference term between the preceding vehicle and the current vehicle is considered in the proposed model, which is similar to the traditional FVD model. When \(l = 0\), the model collapses to Zhu & Yu's model\textsuperscript{[53]}. Therefore, previous models can be regarded as a special form of the proposed model.

The headway-density equation proposed by Berg et al\textsuperscript{[60]} builds the linkage between the microscopic and the macroscopic traffic flow model of Zhai et al.\textsuperscript{[61]}

**Fig. 1** Common gyroidal roads in China. (a) Longmen ancient road at the junction of Henan and Shanxi; (b) East line mountain road project in Fugu County, Yulin City, Shaanxi Province.

**Fig. 2** Illustration of vehicle forces on different road scenes, (a) horizontal or regular road, (b) gyroidal road.

**Scenic traffic flow model:**
\[
r\Delta \phi_n = \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{\rho_s}{2\rho} - \frac{\rho_{\text{ss}}}{6\rho^3} \tag{12}
\]

Similarly, the rest of the microscopic variables in Eq (11) can be converted into the following forms:
\[
\omega_{n, t} \rightarrow \omega(\phi), \omega_{n,i} \rightarrow \omega(\phi + l\Delta, t), \tag{13}
\]
where \(V_0(1) \rightarrow V_0(\phi), V_0(1) \rightarrow -\rho V_0(\phi) \).

The left side term of Eq (11) can be transformed into:
\[
\frac{d\omega(\phi,t)}{dt} = \omega_{\phi}(\phi,t) \omega + \frac{d\omega(\phi,t)}{dt}. \tag{14}
\]

Similarly, Taylor expansion is carried out on the variable \(\omega(\phi + l\Delta, t)\), the following approximation can be obtained:
\[
\omega(\phi + l\Delta, t) = \omega(\phi, t) + \omega l\Delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 l^2 \Delta^2. \tag{15}
\]

Incorporating Eqs (12) - (15) into Eq (11) and sorting it, the following new continuous model can be obtained:
\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \phi} + \rho \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \phi} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \left( \omega - \frac{l + 1}{2} \Delta^2 \right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \phi} = \left[ \frac{k \sqrt{\mu g r \cos \theta \sin \theta \omega}}{2r} V_0(\phi) - \omega \right] + \frac{(l + 1)(2l + 1)}{12} \omega^2 l^2 \Delta^2
\]
\[
+ a \frac{k \sqrt{\mu g r \cos \theta \sin \theta \omega}}{2r} V_0(\phi) \left( \frac{\rho_s}{2\rho} + \frac{\rho_{\text{ss}}}{6\rho^3} \right). \tag{16}
\]

**Linear stability analysis**

For ease of the subsequent discussion, we convert Eq (16) into the following matrix form:
\[
\frac{dU}{dt} + A U = E, \tag{17}
\]
where
\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega - \frac{\rho_s}{2\rho} l \Delta \end{pmatrix}
\]
In order to obtain the eigenvalues of the above equations, matrix $A$ must satisfy the following eigenvalues:

$$|\kappa I - A| = 0. \tag{18}$$

By solving Eq (18), we can obtain the characteristic solution of the above determinant:

$$\kappa_1 = \omega_1, \kappa_2 = \omega_2 = -\frac{r + 1}{2} \lambda \Delta. \tag{19}$$

Since $\lambda, \Delta > 0$, then the macroscopic velocity of the traffic flow $\omega$ exceeds the characteristic velocity $\kappa_1 (i = 1, 2)$, which means that the new traffic flow model has anisotropic characteristics.

In what follows, we carried out the linear stability analysis on the proposed continuum model via the small perturbation method to obtain the corresponding stability conditions. For a start, a small disturbance is injected into the initial equilibrium state, and then:

$$\left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) = \left( \rho_0 + \sum \left( \rho_i \right) \exp(\pm ikx + \delta t), \right. \tag{20}$$

where $(\rho_0, \omega_0)$ is the steady-state solution for Eq (16), $(\rho_i, \omega_i)$ is the small perturbation, and $k$ and $\omega$ represents the wave number and frequency of the waves, respectively.

Combining Eq (20) with Eq (16) and linearizing, and neglecting the higher-order nonlinear terms, then we have:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(\delta_1 + \omega_0 ik)\rho_1 + \rho_0 ik\omega_0 = 0 \\
\left( \frac{1 + ik}{2\rho_0} + \left( \frac{k}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right) k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} - \frac{a}{2} V_e' \left( \rho_0 \right) \rho_1 - \\
(\omega_1 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \omega) (k - \frac{(l + 1)(2l + 1)}{12} \lambda \Delta ^2 (ik)^2) \omega_0 = 0
\end{array} \right\}. \tag{21}$$

In order to obtain the non-zero solutions of $\rho_1$, $\omega_0$, the determinant of the coefficient matrix of the above formula must be equal to zero, then we have the following quadratic equation:

$$\left( \delta_1 + \omega_0 ik \right)^2 + (\delta_1 + \omega_0 ik) \left( \frac{a - \frac{l + 1}{2} \lambda \Delta ik - \frac{(l + 1)(2l + 1)}{12} \Delta \omega (ik)^2}{2} \right) + \left( 1 + \frac{ik}{2\rho_0} \right) \left( \frac{k}{\omega_0} \right)^2 k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right) \rho_1 = 0. \tag{22}$$

Furthermore, to determine the value of $\delta_1$, it is expanded into a power series, i.e. $\delta_1 = \delta_1 + \delta_2 (ik)^2 + \ldots$. To ensure the equation holds after bringing the power series into Eq (22), then the first and second order coefficients terms of $ik$ in the above formula must always be zero, then we have:

$$\left( 1 + \frac{ik}{2\rho_0} \right) \left( \frac{k}{\omega_0} \right)^2 k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right) \rho_1 = 0.$$

Solving the above formula, we can see that $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ are respectively:

$$\delta_1 = -\omega_0 - \frac{k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right)}{2r} \frac{1}{a} \frac{V_e'(\rho_0)}{2r},$$

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right)}{2r} \frac{1}{a} V_e'(\rho_0), \right)^2 - \frac{k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right)}{4r} \frac{1}{a} \Delta \omega (\rho_0). \tag{26}$$

According to the stability theory, we can see that the new continuum model is stable when $\delta_2 > 0$, then we can obtain the following stability conditions, specifically:

$$a > -(l + 1) \lambda \Delta \omega_0 - \frac{1}{r} \left( k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \right) \rho_1 V_e'(\rho_0). \tag{25}$$

Based on the obtained $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$, we can determine that the real and imaginary parts of $\delta_1$ are respectively:

$$R_1(\delta_1) = \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right)}{2r} \frac{1}{a} V_e'(\rho_0), \right)^2 k^2 - O(k^4),$$

$$\text{Im}(\delta_1) = -\omega_0 + \frac{k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right)}{2r} \frac{1}{a} V_e'(\rho_0) k + O(k^3). \tag{27}$$

The critical propagation velocity $c(\rho_0) = \omega_0 r + k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right) V_e'(\rho_0). \tag{26}$$

KdV-Burgers equation

In order to understand the formation and propagation characteristics of density waves near the neutral stability curve, we perform the nonlinear stability analysis on the proposed continuum model when the above stability condition Eq (25) is not satisfied. For a start, we introduce the following new coordinate transformation to the new model:

$$z = x - \eta c,$$

where $c$ is the critical propagation velocity given above, $x$ and $t$ are corresponding position variables and time variables. By rearranging the above transformation, we have $x = z + \eta t$ and $t = \frac{z}{c} - \frac{\eta}{c} z$, respectively.

Incorporating Eq (27) into Eq (16), we get:

$$-c \rho_{\eta} + q_z = 0,$$

$$-c \omega_{\eta} + \omega_{\eta} = a \left( \frac{k \sqrt{\mu r \cos \theta + \sin \theta} \left( \rho_0 \right)}{2r} \frac{1}{a} V_e'(\rho_0), \right)^2 + \frac{(l + 1)(2l + 1)}{12} \lambda \Delta \omega (\rho_0)^2. \tag{28}$$

Substituting Eq (29) - (31) into Eq (28), then we have:

$$q = \rho \times \omega$$

and the first- and second- derivative of $\omega$ to $z$ are:

$$\omega_z = \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{c_{\rho z}}{\rho} - \frac{q_{\rho z}}{\rho^2} \right),$$

$$\omega_{zz} = \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{c_{\rho z}^2}{\rho^2} - \frac{2c_{\rho z}q_{\rho z}}{\rho^3} + \frac{2q_{\rho z}^2}{\rho^4} \right). \tag{30}$$

After performing Taylor expansion of $q$ at steady state, then we have:

$$q = \rho \times \omega_0 + b_1 \rho_{\eta} + b_2 \rho_{\eta}^2. \tag{31}$$

Substituting Eq (29) - (31) into Eq (28), then we have:

Modeling continuous traffic flow...

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \tau + \mathbf{f}
\]

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \phi) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\rho
\
\mathbf{u}
\
\phi
\end{array} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c}
\rho \mathbf{u}
\
\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}
\
\rho \mathbf{u} \phi
\end{array} \right) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{p \mathbf{u}}{\rho} \right) = 0
\]

\[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h = 0\]

**Numerical example**

In this part, we will carry out a numerical simulation to verify the above theoretical analysis conclusions. Since the continuum model is a partial differential form and difficult to simulate, to facilitate follow-up analysis, we first discretized the proposed continuum model Eq (16) based on the finite difference method, and the discretization form of continuous equation corresponding to Eq (16) is:

\[
\rho_i^{n+1} = \rho_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (\rho_i^n \Delta x_i - \rho_j^n \Delta x_j) + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( \omega_i^n - \omega_i^{n+1} \right)
\]

1) if \( \omega_i < \omega_i^{\prime} \), we adopt the forward difference format to the evolution equation of Eq (16), which is:

\[
\omega_i^{n+1} = \omega_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (\omega_i^n - \omega_i^{n+1}) + a\Delta t \left( \frac{\kappa k \mu \gamma \cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2r} V_e'(\rho_i^n) - \omega_i^n \right)
\]

\[
\left[ (l+1) (2l+1) \frac{1}{2r} \left( \left( \omega_i^{n+1} - 2\omega_i^n + \omega_i^{n-1} \right) \right) \left( \Delta x_i \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ a\Delta t \left( \frac{\kappa k \mu \gamma \cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2r} V_e'(\rho_i^n) \left( \rho_i^{n+1} - \rho_i^n \right) + \left( \rho_i^{n+1} - 2\rho_i^n + \rho_i^{n-1} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{6} \left( \Delta x_i \right)^2 \left( \Delta t \right)^2
\]

2) if \( \omega_i > \omega_i^{\prime} \), we adopt the backward difference format to the evolution equation of Eq (16), i.e.,

\[
\omega_i^{n+1} = \omega_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (\omega_i^n - \omega_i^{n+1}) + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( \omega_i^{n+1} - \omega_i^n \right)
\]

\[
\left[ (l+1) (2l+1) \frac{1}{2r} \left( \left( \omega_i^{n+1} - 2\omega_i^n + \omega_i^{n-1} \right) \right) \left( \Delta x_i \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ a\Delta t \left( \frac{\kappa k \mu \gamma \cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2r} V_e'(\rho_i^n) \left( \rho_i^{n+1} - \rho_i^n \right) + \left( \rho_i^{n+1} - 2\rho_i^n + \rho_i^{n-1} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{6} \left( \Delta x_i \right)^2 \left( \Delta t \right)^2
\]

where \( c_i \) = \( l+1 \), \( \rho_i^{\prime} \) and \( \omega_i^{\prime} \) represent the instantaneous density and velocity information of position \( i \) at time \( j \), respectively; \( \Delta t \) and \( \Delta x \) are time and space steps, respectively.

**Shock waves and rarefaction waves**

Shock waves and rarefaction waves are not uncommon in the real traffic environment. When vehicles merge from the on-ramp into the main road, the density of the main road will increase significantly, where the fluctuation is called the shock wave. Alternately, if vehicles leave the main road from the exit ramp, the density of the main road steepness will drop, where the fluctuation is called the rarefaction wave. To verify whether the new model can simulate common traffic conditions well, as a start, we apply Riemann initial conditions to the proposed continuum model to simulate shock waves and rarefaction wave phenomena in real traffic scenarios. The two Riemann initial conditions are:

\[\mathbf{i}) \rho_{0i} = 0.04, \rho_{i+1} = 0.18\]

\[\mathbf{ii}) \rho_{0i} = 0.18, \rho_{i+1} = 0.04\]

where \( \rho_{0i} \) and \( \rho_{i+1} \) represent the density information of upstream and downstream roads, respectively, conditions (i) and (ii) are often used to simulate shock waves and rarefaction waves, respectively; and the initial velocities corresponding to different conditions are given by:

- \( v_{0i} = 4 \), \( v_{i+1} = 3 \)
- \( v_{0i} = -4 \), \( v_{i+1} = -3 \)

\[ \rho_{\text{in}}^{1,2} = V_e (\rho_{\text{in}}^{1,2}), \rho_{\text{out}}^{1,2} = V_e (\rho_{\text{out}}^{1,2}). \]  

(44)

Similar to the literature\(^{[62]}\), the following speed-density relationship is adopted:

\[ V_e (\rho) = v_f \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{\rho - \rho_m}{\rho_v \rho_v - 1} \right)} \right]. \]  

(45)

where \( v_f \) represents the free flow velocity; \( \rho_m \) represents the maximum density; and \( \rho_v \) represents the kinetic velocity under the blocking density. The specific values of default parameters are listed in Table 3.

As shown in Figs 3 & 4, the proposed continuum model can replicate shock waves and rarefaction waves for both uphill and downhill scenarios. Compared to the uphill scenario, the density waves are smoother for the downhill scenario. This result is consistent with the subsequent conclusions.

Local cluster effect

Next, we will analyze the local cluster effect of the proposed continuum model to explore the evolution of initial disturbances. In doing so, we adopt the boundary conditions given by Herrmann & Kerner\(^{[63]}\) to initialize the model density:

\[ \rho (\phi, 0) = \rho_0 + A \rho_0 \left[ \cosh^2 \left( \frac{160}{L} \left( \phi - \frac{51}{16} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{4} \cosh^2 \left( \frac{40}{L} \left( \phi - \frac{111}{32} \right) \right) \right]. \]  

(46)

where \( L \) represents the length of the road; \( \rho_0 \) represents the initial density; and \( A \rho_0 \) is the initial disturbance of density. To simulate the iterative process of density waves, we adopt the following periodic boundary conditions:

\[ \rho (L, t) = \rho (0, t), v(L, t) = v(0, t). \]  

(47)

The relationship of the average speed and density can be found in the literature\(^{[64]}\). The values of default parameters have been specified in Table 4.

\[ V_e (\rho) = v_f \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{\rho - \rho_m - 0.25 \rho_v}{0.06} \right) \right] - 3.72 \times 10^{-6}. \]  

(48)

Figure 5 describes the spatiotemporal diagram of the density wave affected by the initial disturbance under different initial densities \( \rho_0 \). When \( \rho_0 = 0.042 \text{ veh/m} \), the density waves remain stable. When \( \rho_0 \) increases from 0.042 veh/m to 0.051 veh/m, the density fluctuation appears in Fig. 5b. When \( \rho_0 = 0.065 \text{ veh/m} \), the stop-and-go waves appear in Fig. 5c, and the characteristics can be described by the density waves by solving the KdV-Burgers equation in the nonlinear stability analysis. Finally, when \( \rho_0 = 0.079 \text{ veh/m} \), the initial disturbance disappears in Fig. 5d and eventually the density wave returns to the steady state. Typically, when \( \rho_0 \) exceeds 0.079 veh/m, the density fluctuations phenomenon will never appear. Therefore, the traffic flow is unstable once the initial density belongs to the interval [0.042 veh/m, 0.079 veh/m].

Figure 6 describes the spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance under different slope angles \( \theta \). \( \theta > 0 \) and \( \theta < 0 \) correspond to the uphill scenario and downhill scenario, respectively. For the downhill scenario, the fluctuation amplitude of the density wave is the smallest when \( \theta = -10 \). As the absolute value of the parameter \( \theta \) gradually decreases, the density fluctuation gradually aggravates. For the uphill scenario, the effect of parameter \( \theta \) is the opposite. Specifically, the fluctuation amplitude increases with the increase of the parameter \( \theta \). The instantaneous density distribution of road traffic flow as shown in Fig. 7 at \( t = 3000 \text{ s} \) reinforces the conclusion of Fig. 6.

To analyze the influence of the radius of curvature \( r \) in the gyroidal road on the stability of traffic flow, we compare the evolution of the initial disturbance over time corresponding to different curvature radius \( r \) under a downhill scenario, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. The parameters are set as \( \rho_0 = 0.06, \theta = -6, l = 2 \). As the parameter \( r \) increases, the fluctuation amplitude of the initial disturbance decreases. This indicates that a larger radius of curvature \( r \) on gyroidal roads will worsen traffic flow stability. Figure 9 shows the instantaneous density distribution corresponding to Fig. 8 at \( t = 3000 \text{ s} \). The evolution of the initial disturbance over time in Fig. 9a gradually diluted, and finally, the traffic returns to the equilibrium state without any density fluctuation amplitude. As the parameter \( r \) increases, the density fluctuation amplitude gradually expands, of which results are consistent with that of Fig. 8. Moreover, Figs 10 & 11 show the evolution of initial disturbance over time corresponding to different curvature radius \( r \) under an uphill scenario. As the curvature \( r \) increases, the fluctuation amplitude and frequency of road density waves become more severe, which is equivalent to the uphill scenario (Figs 8b).

Figures 12–15 describe the spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance under different values of the parameter \( l \), where Figs 12 & 13 and Figs 14 & 15 correspond to the downhill and uphill scenarios, respectively. When \( l = 0 \), the model does not have new items. As the parameter \( l \) increases, the density wave is gradually smoothed, which implies that the new items are beneficial to improve the robustness of traffic flow when \( l > 0 \). Specifically, the larger the parameter \( l \), the more conducive to suppressing traffic congestion, which verifies the benefits of a connected vehicle environment.

**Concluding remarks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Parameter settings.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta x )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Parameter settings.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta x )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3 Shock waves under the Riemann initial condition (i), where: (a) density $\rho(\varphi, t)$; (b) velocity $u(t)$; the rarefaction waves under the Riemann initial condition (ii), where: (c) density $\rho(\varphi, t)$; (d) velocity $u(t)$. (Under downhill scenes) ($\theta = -6$).

Fig. 4 Shock waves under the Riemann initial condition (i), where: (a) density $\rho(\varphi, t)$; (b) velocity $u(t)$; the rarefaction waves under the Riemann initial condition (ii), where: (c) density $\rho(\varphi, t)$; (d) velocity $u(t)$. (Under uphill scenes) ($\theta = 6$).
Fig. 5  Spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance under different initial densities $\rho_0$, where: (a) $\rho_0 = 0.042$ veh/m; (b) $\rho_0 = 0.051$ veh/m; (c) $\rho_0 = 0.065$ veh/m; (d) $\rho_0 = 0.079$ veh/m. ($l = 2$, $\theta = 0$, $\gamma = 75$).

Fig. 6  Spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance under different slope angles $\theta$, where: (a) $\theta = -10$; (b) $\theta = -5$; (c) $\theta = 5$; (d) $\theta = 10$. ($l = 2$, $\rho_0 = 0.06$, $\gamma = 75$).
Fig. 7 Instantaneous density distribution of traffic flow corresponding to Fig. 6 at $t = 3000$ s.

Fig. 8 Spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance corresponding to different curvature radiiues $r$ under the downhill scenario, where: (a) $r = 50$; (b) $r = 70$; (c) $r = 90$; (d) $r = 120$. $\psi_0 = 0.06$, $\theta = -6$, $f = 2$. 
Fig. 9  Instantaneous density distribution of road traffic flow corresponding to Fig. 8 at $t = 3000$ s.

Fig. 10  Spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance corresponding to different curvature radiuses $r$ under the uphill scenario, where: (a) $r = 50$; (b) $r = 70$; (c) $r = 90$; (d) $r = 120$. $\lambda_0 = 0.06$, $\theta = 6$, $l = 2$. 
Fig. 11 Instantaneous density distribution of road traffic flow corresponding to Fig. 10 at $t = 3000$ s.

Fig. 12 Spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance corresponding to different values of parameter $l$ under a downhill scenario, where: (a) $l = 0$; (b) $l = 1$; (c) $l = 2$; (d) $l = 3$. $\gamma_{00} = 0.06, \theta = -8, r = 75$.
Fig. 13  Instantaneous density distribution of traffic flow corresponding to Fig. 12 at $t = 3000$ s.

Fig. 14  Spatiotemporal diagram of density waves affected by the initial disturbance corresponding to different values of parameter $l$ under the uphill scenario, where: (a) $l = 0$; (b) $l = 1$; (c) $l = 2$; (d) $l = 3$. ($\mu_0 = 0.06$, $\theta = 8$, $r = 75$).
To pave the way for effectively controlling the system in a future connected vehicle environment, we propose a new continuous model taking into account the effect of the average velocity of multiple vehicles ahead on gyroidal roads. In linear and nonlinear stability analysis, the neutral stability curve and KdV-Burger equation corresponding to the model are obtained via the perturbation method. Solving the above KdV-Burger equation yields the density wave solution that can depict the propagation and evolution characteristics of traffic jams near the critical point. Finally, we carried out some numerical simulations to verify the theoretical analysis conclusions. Key findings and their implications are summarized as follows:

(I) The proposed model can well reproduce the shock wave and rarefaction wave under the Riemann initial conditions;

(II) The local cluster effect of the proposed continuum model is analyzed to explore the evolution of initial disturbances. Results show that the number of vehicles ahead considered, radius of curvature $r$, slope angle $\theta$ will directly affect the stability of traffic flow. Specifically, a higher value of parameter $l$ contributes to suppressing the disturbance, which also explains the benefit of connected vehicles. As the parameter $r$ or $\theta$ increases, traffic jams are more likely to take place.

In future research, more realistic factors can be embedded in the model framework, such as lane-changing, vehicle overtaking behavior, and heterogeneous vehicles. In addition, the simulation environment of this research is period bounded, that is, the merge of external vehicles and the leaving of vehicles in the platoon are not considered. Therefore, another line of future research may concern the open-ended simulation environment.

Acknowledgments

This work is jointly supported by Guangdong Basic and Applied Research Foundation (Project No. 2022A1515010948, 2019A151511200, 2019A1515110837, 2023A1515011696), the National Science Foundation of China (Project No. 72071079, 52272310).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. Wu Wei-tiao is the Editorial Board member of Journal Digital Transportation and Safety. He was blinded from reviewing or making decisions on the manuscript. The article was subject to the journal’s standard procedures, with peer-review handled independently of this Editorial Board member and his research groups.

Dates

Received 23 November 2022; Accepted 21 April 2023; Published online xxxxxx

References


Digital Transportation and Safety