Open Access

https://doi.org/10.48130/opr-0024-0005 Ornamental Plant Research **2024**, 4: e007

Genome-wide identification and characterization of the Lateral Organ Boundaries Domain (LBD) gene family in nine Rosaceae species and expression pattern in *Prunus mume*

Weichao Liu^{1#}, Xiaoyu Guo^{1#}, Tangchun Zheng^{1*} , Xue Li¹, Sagheer Ahmad², Jia Wang¹, Qixiang Zhang¹ and Tangren Cheng^{1*}

¹ Beijing Key Laboratory of Ornamental Plants Germplasm Innovation & Molecular Breeding, National Engineering Research Center for Floriculture, Beijing Laboratory of Urban and Rural Ecological Environment, Engineering Research Center of Landscape Environment of Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding in Forest Trees and Ornamental Plants of Ministry of Education, School of Landscape Architecture, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China

² Key Laboratory of National Forestry and Grassland Administration for Orchid Conservation and Utilization at College of Landscape Architecture, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China

[#] These authors contributed equally: Weichao Liu, Xiaoyu Guo

* Corresponding authors, E-mail: zhengtangchun@bjfu.edu.cn; chengtangren@bjfu.edu.cn

Abstract

Transcription factors (TFs) encoded by the lateral organ boundaries domain (LBD) gene family are known to control many plant-specific developmental processes. However, the comparative analysis of the LBD gene family in Rosaceae species and its expression pattern in mei remains unclear. Here, we identified a total of 406 *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae species, including 39 in black raspberry (*Rubus occidentalis*), 34 in strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*), 39 in Chinese rose (*Rosa chinensis*), 42 in peach (*Prunus persica*), 41 in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca*), 41 in mei (*Prunus mume* var. tortuosa), 60 in pear (*Pyrus communis*), 41 in hawthorn (*Crataegus pinnatifida*) and 69 in apple (*Malus domestica*), respectively. The LBDs of nine Rosaceae species were classified into seven major subclasses. The chromosome localization, collinearity analysis, and gene duplication relationship revealed that segment duplication was the main driving force for the amplification of *LBDs* in the Rosoideae and Amygdaloideae. *Ka/Ks* analysis suggested most of the *LBD* gene pairs might be under purification selection. GO and cis-acting elements analysis showed that *LBDs* may play important roles in many biological processes and could respond to hormones and stresses. RNA-seq data showed that *PmLBD17/19/41* genes contained both low-temperature and MeJA response elements and played a significant variation across different geographic locations and periods. *PmLBD30*, the ortholog of *EgLBD29*, exhibited an up-regulation followed by a decrease, which is hypothesized to possibly play a role in the formation of *LBDs* if unctional genes in *P. mume*.

Citation: Liu W, Guo X, Zheng T, Li X, Ahmad S, et al. 2024. Genome-wide identification and characterization of the Lateral Organ Boundaries Domain (LBD) gene family in nine Rosaceae species and expression pattern in *Prunus mume. Ornamental Plant Research* 4: e007 https://doi.org/10.48130/opr-0024-0005

Introduction

LBD genes are transcription factors (TFs) that are peculiar to green plants and may have evolved from charophyte algae. *LBD* TFs contain a highly conserved lateral organ boundaries (LOB) domain, which is about 100 amino acids. The LOB domain consists of a conserved CX2CX6CX3C zinc finger-like motif at the N-terminal region, a Gly-Ala-Ser (GAS) block in the middle, and leucine zipper-like coiled-coil motif (LX6LX3LX6L) motif^[1–3]. Based on the examination of the LOB domain and phylogenetics, the LBD proteins were categorized into two primary classes, namely Class I and Class II.^[3]. The Class I proteins encode a complete LOB domain, while Class II lacks a LX6LX3LX6L motif^[1,4].

With the release of plant genomic information, the LBD gene family has been investigated gradually in several plants. Fourty two *LBD* family members were identified in Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*)^[1], 35 in rice (*Oryza sativa*)^[5], 44 in maize

(Zea mays)^[6], 47 in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)^[7], 40 in grape (Vitis vinifera)^[8], 58 in apple (Malus domestica)^[9], 57 in poplar (Populus trichocarpa)^[10], and 46 in Eucalyptus grandis^[11]. LBD family members have only been investigated in plants, indicating their crucial involvement in controlling growth and developmental processes particular to plants. LBDs were formerly believed to play a role in the development of various plant organs, including roots, shoot meristems, leaves, flowers, and embryos^[12]. For instance, Arabidopsis AtLBD16 and AtLBD29 can regulate lateral root formation^[13], AtLBD6 controls stem meristem^[14], leaf adaxial identity, and sepal and petal development^[15,16], and AtLBD30 is involved in embryogenesis and floral development^[17,18] Moreover, recent research has demonstrated that LBDs also have a function in the process of anthocyanin biosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism, secondary growth, shoot-borne root initiation, plant defenses, hormone response and plant regeneration. For example, AtLBD37/38/39 in Arabidopsis and MdLBD13 in apple can negatively regulate

anthocyanin biosynthesis and nitrogen uptake and assimilation^[19,20]. *PtaLBD1* in poplar (*Populus tremula* × *P. alba*) regulates secondary phloem development^[21], *EgLBD37* and *EgLBD29* in *E. grandis* are involved in secondary xylem differentiation and phloem fiber production^[11], respectively. Additionally, Class IIIB members can specifically regulate shoot-borne root initiation in angiosperms^[22]. The expression level of *MaLBD5*, derived from the banana species *Musa acuminata*, was stimulated by treatment with MeJA and exposure to cold stress. This gene is implicated in the enhancement of cold tolerance mediated by MeJA^[23].

The Rosaceae family consists of over 100 genera and can be divided into four subfamilies: Rosoideae, Prunoideae, Spiraeoideae, and Maloideae. Rosaceae plants, including ornamentals, fruit species, aromatic, and medicinal plants, are economically important plant families. In this study, nine representative plants (black raspberry, strawberry, Chinese rose, peach, apricot, mei, hawthorn, pear, and apple) from three traditional subfamilies (Rosoideae, Prunoideae, Maloideae) of Rosaceae were selected to study. The LBD members were first identified in nine plants. Next, we performed phylogenetic analysis, conserved motifs, sequence alignment, chromosome localization, collinearity analysis, and cis-acting element analysis on these genes. Finally, based on transcriptome data and quantitative real-time (gRT)-PCR analysis, we investigated their expression pattern of PmLBDs in different tissues, cold stress, flower bud dormancy release, and plant architecture. Collectively, these investigations will offer fresh perspectives on the evolutionary correlation of the LBD family in Rosaceae and the expression profile of PmLBDs in P. mume.

Materials and methods

Plant genomic resources

Genome-wide protein data and annotation data of *A. thanliana* (TAIR 10), *P. trichocarpa* (v4.0), and nine other Rosaceae species, including *R. occidentali s* (GDR,v3.0), *F. vesca* (v4.0.a1), *R. chinensis* (v1.0), *P. persica* (v2.0.a1), *P. armeniaca* (v1.0), *P. mume* var. tortuous (v1.0), *C. pinnatifida* (v1.0), *P. communis* (v2.0), *Malus domestica* 'HFTH1' (v1.0) were download from the TAIR database (www.arabidopsis.org, accessed on 12 August 2023)^[24], the Phytozome database (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/, accessed on 2 September 2023)^[25] and the Genome Database for Rosaceae (www.rosaceae.org, accessed on 15 August 2023)^[26], respectively.

Identification of LBDs

A HMMER search was used to identify the possible *LBDs* with the LOB domain (PF03195) from the Pfam database 36.0 (http://pfam.xfam.org/, accessed on 7 September 2023)^[27]. In addition, 43 LBD protein sequences of Arabidopsis were obtained from the TAIR database (www.arabidopsis.org, accessed on 12 August 2023)^[24] and used to perform a BLASTp search with an *E*-value threshold set at e⁻⁵. Then, SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/, accessed on 9 September 2023)^[28] and CDD (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd, accessed on 9 September 2023)^[29] were employed to verify the presence of a LOB domain in putative LBD proteins. Subsequently, the ExPASy-ProtParam tool (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/, accessed on 10 September 2023)^[30] was used to analyze the physical and chemical properties of all identified LBD proteins.

Conserved motif, multiple sequence alignment, and phylogenetic analysis

The presumed patterns of LBD proteins were examined using the MEME suite (https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme, accessed on 10 September 2023)^[31] with the following parameters: a motif number of 20, minimum width of six, maximum width of 50. CltustalW software was used for multiple sequence alignment of LBD proteins. Then, the WebLogo3 website (https://weblogo.threeplusone.com/create.cgi, accessed on 12 September 2023) was used to generate the conserved motif logos. The alignment of all LBD proteins from Arabidopsis, poplar, and Rosaceae species was constructed by a Muscle method. Following the alignment results, phylogenetic trees were created using the maximum likelihood (ML) method, and the bootstrap was set to 5,000. Using TBtools (v. 2.003) software^[32], phylogenetic trees were created.

Chromosome location, duplication, and syntenic analysis

The chromosomal lengths and locations of *LBDs* were extracted from the genome database. Then, the chromosomal location figures were created by TBtools software^[32]. The segment and tandem duplication events of *LBDs* were analyzed by McscanX with default settings^[33]. The intra-species synteny relationships of nine Rosaceae genomes *LBDs* and the interspecies synteny relationships among Arabidopsis, poplar, and nine Rosaceae genomes were identified by MCScanX^[33], and the collinearity results were visualized using TBtools (v. 2.003)^[32]. The *Ks*, *Ka*, and *Ka/Ks* values of gene pairs were calculated using the *Ka/Ks* calculator in TBtools (v. 2.003)^[32].

GO annotation and cis-acting element analysis

To further explore the biological processes involved with LBD proteins, the GO annotation of nine Rosaceae *LBDs* was analyzed using GO Enrichment in TBtools (v. 2.003)^[32]. We extracted the 2,000-bp promoter sequences upstream of each identified *LBD* member and submitted them to the PlantCARE database (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plant-care/html/, accessed on 19 September 2023)^[34] for cis-acting element analysis. TBtools (v. 2.003) was used for visualization^[32].

Expression profiles of PmLBDs

To determine the tissue-specificity of the PmLBDs, we analyzed the expression patterns of PmLBDs using RNA-seq data obtained from five distinct tissues of the mei plant (bud, root, fruit, leaf, and stem) (Accession No. GSE40162)^[35]. The responses of PmLBDs to natural cold were examined using RNA-seq data from three locations (Beijing [N39°54', E116°28'], Chifeng [N42°17', E118°58'], and Gongzhuling [N43°42', E124°47'], China) and phenological stages (autumn, winter, and spring). To identify the responses of PmLBDs to the regulation of flowering, RNA-seq data (accession numbers: PRJNA833165, PRJNA832606, and PRJNA832060) were downloaded from the NCBI website (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed on 22 September 2023)^[36]. Additionally, we also examined PmLBDs expression at eight developmental stages of upright and weeping branches in the mei F₁ population. The heatmaps of PmLBDs expression levels were created using TBtools (v. 2.003)^[32].

In the qRT-PCR procedure, total RNA was extracted from young stems using the RNAprep Pure Plant Plus Kit (DP441, TIANGEN). Subsequently, first-strand cDNAs were generated

from 1 µg of total RNA using the PrimeScriptTM RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (RR047, TaKaRa, Bejing, China). To verify the accuracy of the results, nine *PmLBDs* were selected for qRT-PCR, and gene-specific primers used in qRT-PCR were designed by the NCBI primer tool (Supplemental Tables S1 & S2). The qRT-PCR was performed as described previously for the reaction system and conditions using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq II kit (RR820, TaKaRa) on a PikoReal real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA)^[36]. The gene expression levels were determined by applying the 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ CT} method, with *PmPP2A* serving as the internal reference gene^[36].

Results

Identification of LBDs in nine Rosaceae species

Based on BLASTP and HMMER, a total of 406 LBDs were identified in the Rosaceae family. For Rosoideae, black raspberry (Rubus occidentalis), strawberry (Fragaria vesca), and Chinese rose (Rosa chinensis), 39, 34, and 39 LBDs were detected, respectively. The number of LBDs in the Amygdaloideae was comparable, with 42 in peach (Prunus persica) and 41 in the other three plants (Table 1). For Maloideae, the maximum number of LBDs was 69 in apple (Malus domestica) (Table 1). The proportion of LBDs was the highest in mei (P. mume var. tortuosa), followed by apple and hawthorn (Crataegus pinnatifida), and peach was the least (Table 1). The 406 LBD proteins of Rosaceae encoded 80 to 1,099 aa (amino acid), with molecular weights ranging from 8.90 kDa to 125.60 kDa and theoretical pl from 4.64 to 10.72. The mean hydropathicity value of just 12 proteins exceeded 0, suggesting that the majority of proteins exhibited hydrophilic properties (Supplemental Table S3). Subcellular localization prediction of all LBDs was localized in the nucleus (Supplemental Table S3).

Phylogenetic analysis, conserved motifs, and sequence alignment of LBD protein

To better analyze the evolutionary trajectory of LBD proteins in nine Rosaceae species, a maximum likelihood (ML) tree was constructed with LBDs from the Rosaceae family (406), *A. thaliana* (43), and *P. trichocarpa* (80). Based on the classification of Arabidopsis and poplar, 406 LBD proteins were divided into two major groups, Class I and Class II (Fig. 1a & Supplemental Tables S4, S5). Most proteins belong to Class I, which contained 349 (85.96%) members in nine species, while Class II had 57 (14.04%) LBD members (Fig. 1a, 1b & Supplemental Table S4). Subsequent studies revealed that Class I could be categorized into five subclasses (Class Ia-le), while Class II could be further separated into subclass IIa and subclass IIb. Each subclass included the LBDs of these 11 species, but there were differences in the distribution of members among different species (Fig. 1a, 1b & Supplemental Table S4). Subclass Ia had the largest number of LBDs (11) in apple, and subclass Ic contained the most members in hawthorn. Interestingly, subclass Ie had the highest number of members in most Rosaceae plants, such as strawberry, peach, mei, and apple (Fig. 1b & Supplemental Table S4). In addition, we found that the number of subclass Ia, Ic, and IIa in Rosoideae was less than that in Maloideae. In the Rosoideae and Amygdaloideae, the number of subclass IIa and IIb was consistent (Fig. 1b & Supplemental Table S4).

The investigation of protein domain positioning and structure involved the utilization of ClustalW for carrying out multiple sequence alignment. Additionally, conserved motif logos were developed using the WebLogo3 website. Consequently, nearly all LBDs exhibited the zinc finger-like domain (CX2CX 6CX3C) and GAS blocks, whereas Class II LBDs did not include the leucine zipper-like motif (LX6LX3LX6L) (Fig. 1c).

To delve more into the functional variety and evolutionary relationship of LBDs in species belonging to the Rosaceae family, we constructed an independent phylogenetic tree for each subclass and analyzed motifs and domains within these proteins. The subclass exhibited significant variation in both the quantity and diversity of motifs (Fig. 2, Supplemental Figs S1–S5). For example, subclass lla possessed the lowest number of motifs and only nine types of motifs, while subclass le possessed the highest number of motifs with 15 types. Besides, the conserved motifs 1, 2, 10, and 13 were shared by each subclass. Class II did not contain motifs 4 and 6, but these only contained motifs 5 and 12. Subclass Id and le were the only subclasses that included motif 6, while motif 16 was exclusively found in subclass IIb. The presence of specific motifs in the LBD subclass indicated that they also had specific roles.

Chromosomal localization and evolutionary analysis of the *LBDs*

To gain a deeper understanding of the evolutionary connection between *LBDs* in Rosaceae species, we conducted a study on the chromosome localization, collinearity analysis, and gene duplication relationship of *LBDs* in the nine Rosaceae genomes. Through chromosome localization, 406 *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae genomes were unevenly distributed across the chromosome (Fig. 3 & Supplemental Figs S6, S7). We also found that except for Maloideae, most species had *LBDs* distribution on each chromosome (Fig. 3, Supplemental Figs S6, S7). Specifically, no *LBDs* were located on chromosome 4 and 13 in pear and apple (Supplemental Fig. S7b, S7c). Chromosome 7 (Chr7) had the maximum number of *LBDs* in black raspberry, strawberry, pear,

Table 1. Number of LBDs in nine Rosaceae species.

Traditional subfamily	Genus name	Common name	Species name	Chromosome number	Genome gene number	Identified LBDs	Proportion of <i>LBDs</i>
Rosoideae	Rubus	Black raspberry	Rubus occidentalis	8	33,286	39	0.12%
	Fragaria	Strawberry	Fragaria vesca	7	28,588	34	0.12%
	Rosa	Chinese rose	Rosa chinensis	7	39,669	39	0.10%
Amygdaloideae	Prunus	Peach	Prunus persica	8	47,089	42	0.09%
	Prunus	Apricot	Prunus armeniaca	8	30,436	41	0.13%
	Prunus	Mei	Prunus mume var. tortuosa	8	26,015	41	0.16%
Maloideae	Crataegus	Hawthorn	Crataegus pinnatifida	17	40,571	60	0.15%
	Pyrus	European pear	Pyrus communis	17	37,445	41	0.11%
	Malus	Apple	Malus domestica 'HFTH1'	17	44,677	69	0.15%

Liu et al. Ornamental Plant Research 2024, 4: e007



Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree, conserved domains, and the gene numbers of the subfamily in nine Rosaceae species. (a) ML phylogenetic tree of LBD proteins in 11 plant genomes. (b) The number of genes identified in different classes of the LBD family. (c) Analysis of three conserved domains of LBD proteins in nine Rosaceae genomes.

and apple, with 12, 9, 10, and 7, respectively (Supplemental Figs S6a, S6b, S7b & S7c). Nine and 11 *LBDs* were located in Chr5, which was the largest number in apricot and mei, respectively (Fig. 3b, 3c).

In addition, collinearity analysis showed that the most segment duplication gene pairs occurred in Maloideae, such as 87 gene pairs in hawthorn, followed by Amygdaloideae, and the least in Rosoideae, such as only six in Chinese rose (Fig. 4, Supplemental Fig. S8 & Supplemental Table S6). Notably, the tandem duplication gene pairs showed significant similarity among the species of the Rosaceae family. In apple, the highest number of tandem duplication gene pairs observed was five, whereas in pear, the lowest number was two (Supplemental Fig. S3b, 3c & Supplemental Table S7). The prevalence of segment duplication genes, as opposed to tandem duplication genes, indicates that segment duplication is the primary factor responsible for the expansion of LBDs in the Rosoideae and Amygdaloideae. Subsequently, genome collinearity of LBDs among the Rosaceae family, A. thaliana, and P. trichocarpa was conducted on account of species' evolutionary relationships. The findings indicated a significant collinearity relationship across Rosaceae plants, as depicted in Fig. 5. In Rosoideae, 46 and 42 pairs of orthologous LBDs were detected between black raspberry and strawberry and strawberry and Chinese rose, respectively (Fig. 5). A total of 53 and 49 homologous gene pairs were found in Amygdaloideae (peach vs apricot, apricot vs mei) (Fig. 5). For Malodieae, there were the most gene pairs, with 103 pairs between hawthorn and pear (Fig. 5).

To conduct a more in-depth examination of the rate at which LBDs have evolved in nine Rosaceae species, we computed the Ka (non-synonymous substitution) to Ks (synonymous substitution) ratio for each pair of genes. In our study, the Ks value of gene pairs was mainly distributed at 1.0 to 2.5 in black raspberry, strawberry, apricot, mei, and pear (Fig. 6a & Supplemental Data S1). The main distribution of Ks in other Rosaceae species was 2.0 to 2.5 (Fig. 6a & Supplemental Data S1). In addition, the value of Ks peaked at 2.0-2.5 in strawberry, apricot, mei, and pear, while the peak value was 1.5-2.0 in the other six plants (Fig. 6a & Supplemental Data S1). The majority of the LBD gene pairs exhibited Ka/Ks ratios below 1 (Fig. 6b & Supplemental Data S2), indicating that these genes are likely subject to purifying selection. However, it is worth mentioning that there was one gene pair in peach and two gene pairs in black raspberry with a Ka/Ks value greater than 1 (Fig. 6b & Supplemental Data S2), implying that these genes may undergo functional divergence owing to positive selection.

Functional prediction of the LBDs

To further explore the biological processes involved in *LBDs*, we performed a gene ontology (GO) analysis of Rosaceae *LBDs*. According to the cellular component results, *LBDs* were involved in the nucleus, membrane-bounded organelle, intracellular membrane-bounded organelle, organelle and intracellular organelle (Fig. 7a). Regarding molecular function, LBDs primarily participate in protein dimerization activity and protein binding. In addition, these genes were implicated in

Ornamental Plant Research



Fig. 2 Phylogenetic evolutionary tree, motifs distributions, and domains of the subclass la subfamily members.

more than 130 biological processes, including post-embryonic, plant organ, root, flower, and other developmental and morphogenetic processes, as well as phloem or xylem histogenesis, cellular response to jasmonic acid stimulus and jasmonic acid mediated signaling pathway (Fig. 7a).

To explore the potential regulatory mechanisms of *LBDs*, cisacting element analysis was performed on the region 2,000 bp upstream of 406 *LBDs* using the PlantCARE database. The findings indicated that a total of 70,479 cis-acting elements were identified, with an average of 173 per gene (Fig. 7b & Supplemental Fig. S9). The promoter region of *LBDs* exhibited a widespread presence of common regulatory components, namely the CAAT-box and TATA-box, which accounted for 21.48% and 26.61% respectively. Subsequently, 20 major cis-elements were selected for further analysis (Fig. 7b). These cis-elements mainly contained: (1) light response-related elements, with an average of 12 elements per gene; (2) hormone response-related elements, such as abscisic acid, MeJA, auxin, gibberellin; (3) biotic and abiotic stress-related elements, including anaerobic induction, low-temperature, drought-inducibility, defense, and stress responsiveness; (4) development and tissue specificity related elements, such as meristem expression, wound-responsive, cell cycle regulation, circadian control, endosperm expression, seed-specific, root-specific (Fig. 7b, c & Supplemental Fig. S9). Furthermore, despite the distribution of various ciselements throughout the promoter, the presence of similarly organized cis-acting elements on related gene promoters implies that these genes may have comparable roles (Supplemental Fig. S9). Overall, these results indicated that *LBDs* may play important roles as transcription factors in many biological processes and could respond to hormone response and stress.



Fig. 3 Chromosome distribution of *LBDs* in the Amygdaloideae. (a) Chromosome distribution of *LBDs* in *P. persica*. (b) Chromosome distribution of *LBDs* in *P. armeniaca*. (c) Chromosome distribution of *LBDs* in *P. mume*.

Ornamental Plant Research



Fig. 4 Collinearity of segmental duplication gene pairs of *LBDs* in six Rosaceae species. (a) Collinearity of segmental duplication gene pairs of *LBDs* in *R. occidentalis*. (b) Collinearity of segmental duplication gene pairs of *LBDs* in *F. vesca*. (c) Collinearity of segmental duplication gene pairs of *LBDs* in *R. chinensis*. (d) Collinearity of segmental duplication gene pairs of *LBDs* in *P. persica*. (e) Collinearity of segmental duplication gene pairs of *LBDs* in *P. mume*. The red lines represent the segment duplication (SD) gene pairs of the *LBDs*.



Fig. 5 Collinearity analysis of *LBDs* in different genomes. Colored circular rectangles denote the chromosomes of different plants. The green lines represent gene pairs with a collinear relationship. The grey lines represent other collinear gene pairs of non-LBD gene family members across genomes.



Fig. 6 The *Ks* and *Ka/Ks* values of *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae genomes. (a) The distribution of *Ks* values among *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae genomes. (b) The distribution of *Ka/Ks* values among *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae genomes.

Expression pattern analysis of *PmLBDs* in *P. mume*

To characterize the tissue-specific *PmLBD* gene in *P. mume*, the expression patterns of *PmLBD* family members were based on RNA-seq data. Among 41 *PmLBDs*, 26 *PmLBDs* were

expressed in at least tissues (bud, root, fruit, leaf, and stem) (Fig. 8a). The *PmLBDs* that were considered to be tissue-specifically expressed with RPKM > 2-fold over other tissues were as follows: *PmLBD3/6/13/27/29/31/34*, *PmLBD7/15/17/25/36/40*, *PmLBD8/23/24*, *PmLBD28* were expressed in the roots, buds, fruit and stems, respectively (Fig. 8a). The other genes were expressed in two or more tissues, among which *PmLBD1* was highly expressed in all five tissues (RPKM > 40) (Fig. 8a). These findings implied that the growth and development process of tissues were regulated by these *PmLBDs*.

To look into the potential role of *PmLBDs* in the regulation of blooming, particularly in the process of floral bud break, we assessed the expression levels of *PmLBDs* at four different stages of floral bud dormancy release in *P. mume.* As shown in Fig. 8b & Supplemental Fig. S10, *PmLBD2* exhibited a continuous upregulation with floral bud exit dormancy, while *PmLBD12/35* showed a downregulation trend. *PmLBD19* expression was suppressed in the endodormancy process, increased during ecological dormancy, and decreased sharply at bud flush, while *PmLBD6* was up-regulated during endodormancy and decreased after ecological dormancy. These results demonstrate that these *PmLBDs* function in floral bud dormancy release.

To examine how *PmLBDs* react to cold stress in mei, we analyzed the expression patterns in the stem at three different locations throughout three time periods. The expression level of *PmLBDs* varied greatly at different geographic locations and in different periods (Fig. 9 & Supplemental Fig. S11). For example, *PmLBD1/6/13/17* showed large expression levels under all three locations at the same time. In addition, *PmLBD13* exhibited an initial downregulation followed by an upregulation trend at three test sites, while *PmLBD26* showed an opposite trend (Fig. 9 & Supplemental Fig. S11). Notably, some genes



Fig. 7 GO and cis-elements analysis of *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae species. (a) GO analysis of *LBDs* in nine Rosaceae species. (b) The proportion of cis-elements predicted in the promoters of *LBDs*. (c) Numbers of the cis-elements involved in light response, hormone response, biotic and abiotic stress, development, and tissue specificity.

showed inconsistent expression at three test sites. For example, the expression of *PmLBD1* exhibited down-regulation in winter and up-regulation in spring in Beijing, a continuous down-regulation trend in Chifeng, and upregulation followed by downregulation in Gongzhuling (Fig. 9a). *PmLBD19* showed a

continuous up-regulation in Beijing, down-regulation in winter, and up-regulation in spring in Chifeng, and an increase followed by a downregulation in Gongzhuling (Fig. 9b). These results suggest that *PmLBDs* were involved in the response to cold stress in *P. mume*.

Liu et al. Ornamental Plant Research 2024, 4: e007

Ornamental Plant Research

LBD gene family in nine Rosaceae species



Fig. 8 Expression pattern of *PmLBDs* in different tissues and different developmental stages of flower buds. (a) Hierarchical clustering of expression profiles of *PmLBDs* in different tissues (bud, fruit, leaf, root, and stem). (b) Expression profiles of *PmLBDs* in the flower bud during dormancy release.

We also examined the expression of PmLBDs at eight developmental stages of upright and weeping branches in the mei F₁ population. The *PmLBDs* showed a large variation in expression patterns during branch development (Fig. 10). The expression of PmLBD5 showed a continuous upregulation at eight developmental stages, and PmLBD28/30/40 exhibited an upregulation followed by a decrease. Notably, PmLBD6 was consistently higher in weeping branches than in upright branches, while PmLBD20 showed an opposite trend (Fig. 10). To verify the accuracy of the transcript levels of PmLBDs in transcriptome data, nine candidate genes were selected based on the subfamily classification and differential gene clustering. Their expression level in upright and weeping branches was investigated using gRT-PCR with PmPP2A as a reference gene. Finally, RNA-seg data were consistent with the gRT-PCR results (Fig. 11).

Discussion

The *LBDs*, which are exclusive to plants, play a crucial role in regulating a wide range of biological activities such as plant secondary metabolism, growth and development, and response to different types of stress^[11,13,22]. Due to their crucial function in plant development, *LBDs* have been extensively researched in several plant species. Rosaceae is one of the important plant families, however, comparative studies on *LBDs* in Rosaceae remain unknown. In this study, 42 *AtLBDs* from Arabidopsis were utilized to identify LBD proteins in nine representative Rosaceae plants, with the number of *LBDs*

ranging from 34 to 69, independent of genome size. The number of *LBDs* was similar in most selected plants, but was far greater in hawthorn and apple with 60 and 69 *LBDs*, respectively. The presence of a large number of *LBDs* in hawthorn and apple may be related to the widespread occurrence of duplication events in their genomes^[37,38].

Four hundred and six LBD proteins could be categorized into two classes: Class I (349, 85.96%) and Class II (57, 14.04%), and LBDs in Class I was significantly higher than in Class II among all of the selected Rosaceae plants, which is consistent with previous results^[11]. Similar to the previous phylogenetic tree results^[11,39], those proteins were divided into seven major subclasses, and the LBDs of these 12 species were distributed in each subclass. The classification was further supported by gene motif analysis and structural domains, indicating that genes in the same subclass usually have similar biological functions. Recent studies have reported that root-type-specific regulation by subclass IB LBDs is deeply conserved^[22]. For example, Solyc 09q066270, a subclass IB LBD, could specifically regulate the earliest stage of root initiation^[22]. Therefore, we hypothesize that subclass IB LBDs may play a deeply conservative role in lateral root initiation and provide reference genes for difficultto-root plants in Rosaceae, especially in the Prunus genus.

Gene duplication is a crucial factor in the process of evolution and the growth of gene families. Segmental and tandem duplication are the primary mechanisms for gene family growth^[40]. A total of 242 pairs of *LBD* duplication genes were discovered in the nine Rosaceae genomes under analysis. Out of these, 215 pairs were recognized as segment duplication

Ornamental Plant Research



Fig. 9 Expression pattern of *PmLBDs* in different locations and seasons. (a) Hierarchical clustering of expression profiles of *PmLBDs* in different locations. (b) Hierarchical clustering of expression profiles of *PmLBDs* in different seasons. BJ, Beijing; CF, Chifeng; GZL, Gongzhuling.





Liu et al. Ornamental Plant Research 2024, 4: e007



Fig. 11 qRT-PCR analysis of nine *PmLBDs* in upright and weeping branches. U1–U8, eight developmental stages of upright branches in the mei F₁ population; W1–W8, eight developmental stages of weeping branches in the mei F₁ population. The relative quantification method $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ was used to evaluate quantitative variation. Error bars represent standard error for three replicates.

genes, while only 27 pairs were classified as tandem duplication. This finding demonstrates that segmental duplication events play a crucial role in driving the proliferation of the LBD family in the Rosoideae and Amygdaloideae. In addition, synteny analysis revealed a great collinearity relationship among Rosaceae plants, especially those in Malodieae, which proved that the LBDs are relatively conserved in Rosaceae, suggesting that the functions of these homologous genes may be consistent. The Ka/Ks ratio was used to measure the selection pressure experienced by the gene pairs. Previous studies have shown that LBDs proceed with a purifying selection in moso bamboo (Phyllostachys edulis) and ginkgo (Ginkgo biloba)^[41,42]. Similarly, almost all LBDs in selected Rosaceae in this study have undergone purifying selection. The presence of a few LBDs may undergo functional divergence owing to positive selection in black raspberry and peach, indicating that functional differentiation of these genes may occur, which is worthy of further research.

GO analysis and promoter cis-acting element prediction can determine the possible functions of LBDs. AtLBD3 and AtLBD4 have been reported to activate secondary growth through cytokinin signaling^[43]. AtLBD16/17/18/29 plays key roles in plant regeneration programs mediated by the auxin signals^[12]. Our study revealed that the LBD promoters contain many kinds of hormone response-related elements (abscisic acid, MeJA, auxin, and gibberellin). Thus, we postulated that LBDs might play a role in plant development through their reaction to hormones. Furthermore, the LBD promoters were shown to contain components associated with light, low temperature, drought, defense and stress responses, meristematic organization, and tissue specialization. These findings indicate that LBDs have a significant impact on various biological processes and may be involved in hormone response and stress. This is consistent with the results of the PmLBDs expression analysis under low temperature and tree architecture in this study.

The *LBDs* have been extensively reported to play a crucial role in controlling the development of many plant parts, including roots, flowers, leaves, and stems. This finding is congruent with the results obtained from the GO enrichment study. GO annotations of 470 *LBDs* contained a variety of plant organ development and formation, including post-embryonic, plant organ, root, and flower. In Arabidopsis, *AtLBD13*^[44], *AtLBD16*^[45], and *AtLBD33*^[46] were shown to play key roles in controlling lateral root development. *PmLBD3* with *AtLBD13*, *PmLBD27* with *AtLBD33*, and *PmLBD31* with *AtLBD16* were respectively in the same subclades. Notably, the three genes were specifically expressed in the root. Therefore, we hypothesized that *PmLBD3/27/31* may be involved in lateral root development.

Previous studies showed that LBDs respond positively to various abiotic stresses. For example, in Ginkgo, GbLBD31, a pleiotropic regulator, was significantly expressed under drought and cold stress^[42]. In banana, *MaLBD5* may be associated with MeJA-induced cold tolerance and activated jasmonate biosynthesis gene^[23]. In our study, the response of PmLBDs to cold stress was revealed at three sites for three periods by analyzing previous transcriptome data. Our investigation revealed significant variation in the expression of PmLBDs across different geographic locations and periods. Additionally, we observed inconsistent expression of certain genes among the three investigated loci. Notably, four differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were predicted to contain low-temperature response elements, eight DEGs contained MeJA response elements, and three DEGs contained both low-temperature and MeJA response elements, indicating that these genes potentially have a role in the development of cold tolerance in mei driven by MeJA and provide potential candidate genes for future research on cold tolerant molecular breeding in mei.

It is known that flowering transition is controlled by the gene regulatory network. In rice, *OsLBD37* and *OsLBD38* were found to delay flowering by down-regulating *Hd3a* and *RFT1*

expression^[47]. In transgenic Arabidopsis, *CsLBD37* overexpression affects nitrogen-responsive gene expression and nitrate content, which may regulate early flowering in plants through nitrogen signaling^[48]. The study observed significant alterations in the expression levels of many *LBDs*, suggesting their potential involvement in the regulation of blooming.

Prior research has demonstrated that the abnormal growth of phloem, namely the lack of phloem fibers, had a significant role in the development of weeping traits in mei^[49]. *PtaLBD1* and *PtaLBD4* regulate the development of the secondary phloem by inhibiting the expression of identity genes in the meristem^[11]. In overexpression poplar, *EgLBD29* controls secondary growth especially the development of phloem fiber^[11]. This suggests that *LBDs* may regulate secondary growth in plants, especially phloem fiber. In this study, *PmLBD6* was consistently higher in weeping branches than in upright branches, while *PmLBD20* showed an opposite trend. Notably, in mei, *PmLBD30*, the ortholog of *EgLBD29*, exhibited an upregulation followed by a decrease, which is hypothesized to possibly play a role in branch development and can be studied as a candidate gene for the formation of a weeping trait in mei.

Conclusions

In Rosaceae, 39, 34, 39, 42, 41, 41, 41, 60, 41, and 69 LBDs were identified in black raspberry, strawberry, Chinese rose, peach, apricot, mei, pear, hawthorn, and apple, respectively. Among them, the LBDs were classified into seven major subclasses. The primary factor responsible for the amplification of LBDs in Rosaceae plants was the duplication of segments. Phylogenetic tree and RNA-seq data showed that PmLBD27/31 were tissue-specifically expressed in the roots. Transcription sequencing data from three locations for three periods indicated that PmLBD17/19/41 were induced by low temperature and they all contained both low-temperature and MeJA response elements. Moreover, PmLBD30 exhibited an up-regulation followed by a decrease in the developmental stages of branches. In summary, our studies offer novel perspectives on the evolutionary connection between the LBD family in Rosaceae and the role of LBDs in mei.

Author contributions

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design: Liu W, Zheng T; conducted experiments and material collection: Zheng T, Liu W, Guo X; data analysis: Liu W, Guo X, Zheng T; conducted fieldwork and material maintenance: Liu W, Guo X, Li X, Wang J, Cheng T, Zhang Q; modified the language modification: Ahmad S; draft the manuscript: Liu W, Guo X, Zheng T; manuscript revision and finalization: Zheng T, Cheng T. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32371947), the Beijing High-Precision

Liu et al. Ornamental Plant Research 2024, 4: e007

Discipline Project, Discipline of Ecological Environment of Urban and Rural Human Settlements, and the Special Fund for Beijing Common Construction Project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://www.maxapress.com/article/doi/10.48130/opr-0024-0005)

Dates

Received 13 December 2023; Accepted 25 January 2024; Published online 4 March 2024

References

- Shuai B, Reynaga-Pena CG, Springer PS. 2002. The Lateral Organ Boundaries gene defines a novel, plant-specific gene family. *Plant Physiology* 129:747–61
- Matsumura Y, Iwakawa H, Machida Y, Machida C. 2009. Characterization of genes in the ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2/LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES (AS2/LOB) family in Arabidopsis thaliana, and functional and molecular comparisons between AS2 and other family members. The Plant Journal 58:525–37
- Majer C, Hochholdinger F. 2011. Defining the boundaries: structure and function of LOB domain proteins. *Trends Plant Science* 16:47–52
- Chanderbali AS, He F, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2015. Out of the water: origin and diversification of the LBD gene family. *Molecular Biol*ogy and Evolution 32:1996–2000
- Yang Y, Yu X, Wu P. 2006. Comparison and evolution analysis of two rice subspecies LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES domain gene family and their evolutionary characterization from Arabidopsis. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 39:248–62
- Zhang Y, Zhang S, Zheng C. 2014. Genome-wide analysis of LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES domain gene family in *Zea mays*. *Journal of Genetics* 93:79–91
- Gupta K, Gupta S. 2021. Molecular and *in silico* characterization of tomato LBD transcription factors reveals their role in fruit development and stress responses. *Plant Gene* 27:100309
- Cao H, Liu C, Liu C, Zhao Y, Xu R. 2016. Genomewide analysis of the lateral organ boundaries domain gene family in *Vitis vinifera*. *Journal of Genetics* 95:515–26
- Wang X, Zhang S, Su L, Liu X, Hao Y. 2013. A genome-wide analysis of the LBD (LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES domain) gene family in *Malus domestica* with a functional characterization of *MdLBD11*. *PLoS One* 8:e57044
- Zhu Q, Guo A, Gao G, Zhong Y, Xu M, et al. 2007. DPTF: a database of poplar transcription factors. *Bioinformatics* 23:1307–8
- Lu Q, Shao F, Macmillan C, Wilson IW, van der Merwe K, et al. 2018. Genomewide analysis of the lateral organ boundaries domain gene family in *Eucalyptus grandis* reveals members that differentially impact secondary growth. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 16:124–36
- Fan M, Xu C, Xu K, Hu Y. 2012. LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN transcription factors direct callus formation in *Arabidop-sis* regeneration. *Cell Research* 22:1169–80
- Okushima Y, Fukaki H, Onoda M, Theologis A, Tasaka M. 2007. ARF7 and ARF19 regulate lateral root formation via direct activation of *LBD/ASL* genes in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 19:118–30
- Uchida N, Townsley B, Chung KH, Sinha N. 2007. Regulation of SHOOT MERISTEMLESS genes via an upstream-conserved noncoding sequence coordinates leaf development. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104:15953–58

- 15. Xu L, Xu Y, Dong A, Sun Y, Pi L, et al. 2003. Novel as1 and as2 defects in leaf adaxial-abaxial polarity reveal the requirement for ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 and 2 and ERECTA functions in specifying leaf adaxial identity. Development 130:4097–107
- Xu B, Li Z, Zhu Y, Wang H, Ma H, et al. 2008. Arabidopsis genes AS1, AS2, and JAG negatively regulate boundary-specifying genes to promote sepal and petal development. *Plant Physiology* 146:566–75
- Borghi L, Bureau M, Simon R. 2007. Arabidopsis JAGGED LATERAL ORGANS is expressed in boundaries and coordinates KNOX and PIN activity. The Plant Cell 19:1795–808
- Soyano T, Thitamadee S, Machida Y, Chua NH. 2008. ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2-LIKE19/LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN30 and ASL20/LBD18 regulate tracheary element differentiation in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 20:3359–73
- Rubin G, Tohge T, Matsuda F, Saito K, Scheible WR. 2009. Members of the *LBD* family of transcription factors repress anthocyanin synthesis and affect additional nitrogen responses in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 21:3567–84
- Li H, Liu X, An J, Hao Y, Wang X, et al. 2017. Cloning and elucidation of the functional role of apple *MdLBD13* in anthocyanin biosynthesis and nitrate assimilation. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC)* 130:47–59
- 21. Yordanov YS, Regan S, Busov V. 2010. Members of the LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN transcription factor family are involved in the regulation of secondary growth in *Populus*. *The Plant Cell* 22:3662–77
- Omary M, Gil-Yarom N, Yahav C, Steiner E, Hendelman A, et al. 2022. A conserved superlocus regulates above- and below-ground root initiation. *Science* 375:eabf4368
- 23. Ba L, Kuang J, Chen J, Lu W. 2016. MaJAZ1 attenuates the MaLBD5mediated transcriptional activation of jasmonate biosynthesis gene *MaAOC2* in regulating cold tolerance of banana fruit. *Journal* of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 64:738–45
- 24. Lamesch P, Berardini TZ, Li D, Swarbreck D, Wilks C, et al. 2012. The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): improved gene annotation and new tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40:D1202–D1210
- Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, et al. 2012. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40:D1178–D1186
- Jung S, Lee T, Cheng CH, Buble K, Zheng P, et al. 2019. 15 years of GDR: new data and functionality in the Genome Database for Rosaceae. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47:D1137–D1145
- 27. Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, et al. 2016. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:D279–D285
- Ponting CP, Schultz J, Milpetz F, Bork P. 1999. SMART: identification and annotation of domains from signalling and extracellular protein sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 27:229–32
- Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, et al. 2017. CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45:D200–D203
- Wilkins MR, Gasteiger E, Bairoch A, Sanchez JC, Williams KL, et al. 1999. Protein identification and analysis tools in the ExPASy server. In 2-D Proteome Analysis Protocols, ed. Link AJ. Vol. 112. NJ: Humana Totowa. pp. 531–52. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-584-7:531
- Bailey TL, Williams N, Misleh C, Li WW. 2006. MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34:W369–W373
- Chen C, Chen H, Zhang Y, Thomas HR, Frank MH, et al. 2020. TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Molecular Plant* 13:1194–202

- 33. Wang Y, Tang H, DeBarry JD, Tan X, Li J, et al. 2012. *MCScanX*: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40:e49
- 34. Lescot M, Déhais P, Thijs G, Marchal K, Moreau Y, et al. 2002. Plant-CARE, a database of plant *cis*-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for *in silico* analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30:325–27
- 35. Zhang Q, Chen W, Sun L, Zhao F, Huang B, et al. 2012. The genome of *Prunus mume*. *Nature Communications* 3:1318
- 36. Zhang M, Cheng W, Yuan X, Wang J, Cheng T, et al. 2022. Integrated transcriptome and small RNA sequencing in revealing miRNA-mediated regulatory network of floral bud break in *Prunus mume*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13:931454
- 37. Zhang T, Qiao Q, Du X, Zhang X, Hou Y, et al. 2022. Cultivated hawthorn (*Crataegus pinnatifida* var. major) genome sheds light on the evolution of Maleae (apple tribe). *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 64:1487–501
- Zhang L, Hu J, Han X, Li J, Gao Y, et al. 2019. A high-quality apple genome assembly reveals the association of a retrotransposon and red fruit colour. *Nature Communications* 10:1494
- Zhang Y, Li Z, Ma B, Hou Q, Wan X. 2020. Phylogeny and functions of LOB domain proteins in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21:2278
- 40. Cannon SB, Mitra A, Baumgarten A, Young ND, May G. 2004. The roles of segmental and tandem gene duplication in the evolution of large gene families in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Plant Biology* 4:10
- Huang B, Huang Z, Ma R, Ramakrishnan M, Chen J, et al. 2021. Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of LBD transcription factor genes in Moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). BMC Plant Biology 21:296
- 42. Tian Y, Han X, Qu Y, Zhang Y, Rong H, et al. 2022. Genome-wide identification of the Ginkgo (*Ginkgo biloba* L.) *LBD* transcription factor gene and characterization of its expression. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23:5474
- 43. Ye L, Wang X, Lyu M, Siligato R, Eswaran G, et al. 2021. Cytokinins initiate secondary growth in the *Arabidopsis* root through a set of LBD genes. *Current Biology* 31:3365–3373.e7
- Cho C, Jeon E, Pandey SK, Ha SH, Kim J. 2019. LBD13 positively regulates lateral root formation in Arabidopsis. Planta 249:1251–58
- 45. Goh T, Toyokura K, Yamaguchi N, Okamoto Y, Uehara T, et al. 2019. Lateral root initiation requires the sequential induction of transcription factors LBD16 and PUCHI in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytologist* 224:749–60
- Berckmans B, Vassileva V, Schmid SPC, Maes S, Parizot B, et al. 2011. Auxin-dependent cell cycle reactivation through transcriptional regulation of *Arabidopsis E2Fa* by lateral organ boundary proteins. *The Plant Cell* 23:3671–83
- 47. Li C, Zhu S, Zhang H, Chen L, Cai M, et al. 2017. OsLBD37 and OsLBD38, two class II type LBD proteins, are involved in the regulation of heading date by controlling the expression of *Ehd1* in rice. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 486:720–25
- Teng RM, Yang N, Liu CF, Chen Y, Wang YX, et al. 2022. *CsLBD37*, a LBD/ASL transcription factor, affects nitrate response and flowering of tea plant. *Scientia Horticulturae* 306:111457
- 49. Zhuo X, Zheng T, Li S, Zhang Z, Zhang M, et al. 2021. Identification of the *PmWEEP* locus controlling weeping traits in *Prunus mume* through an integrated genome-wide association study and quantitative trait locus mapping. *Horticulture Research* 8:131

