Salt Stress Induces SFT Expression to Promote Early Flowering and Inhibits Floral Organ Development by Disturbing Cell Cycle in Tomato

Fengze Sun1, Yahui Wang1, Genzhong Liu1, Dong Fang2, Mingxuan Sun1, Zhilong Bao1* and Fangfang Ma1*

1 College of Horticulture Science and Engineering, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai-An, 271018, Shandong, China
2 Department of Research and Development, Enaco Fertile B.V., the Netherlands
* Corresponding authors; E-mail: zbao@sdaau.edu.cn; fma@sdaau.edu.cn

Abstract
Excessive application of fertilizers and continuous cropping result in a large amount of salt residues remaining in the soil, leading to salt stress in the following crop cultivation. Salt stress jeopardizes the growth and development of plants and poses a great challenge to tomato production. Floral transition is a critical step determining the crop yield. Here we investigate the impact of salt stress on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) floral transition using various concentrations of NaCl solutions. Salt stress significantly reduced plant biomass compared to the control. We observed an early flowering phenotype and smaller flowers in tomato plants treated with 50-150mM NaCl solution relative to the control. RT-qPCR analyses of genes involve in floral transition revealed that SFT gene was upregulated under salt stress suggesting the activation of SFT (SINGLE-FLOWER TRUSS)-AP1(APETALA 1) pathway mediated floral transition. Salt treatment leaded to a decrease in tomato seedlings biomass, particularly in floral organ. Meanwhile, plants treated with 150mM NaCl also produced much smaller fruits than control leading to significant decrease in yield. Nuclear DNA ploidy analysis of various floral tissues in tomato has revealed significant impacts of salt stress on the intranuclear replication within sepal s and petals. We indicate that the cell cycle in floral organs is disrupted by salt stress, primarily through its influence on the transcription of CycC2;1 and CycB1;2. Our study elucidates that salt stress promotes early flowering by inducing SFT expression and retard tomato floral organ development via perturbation of cell cycle.

Introduction
Given that plants are inherently sessile[1], plants acquired mechanisms for sensing environmental changes in response to complex environments during plant evolution[2-4]. These mechanisms have significant implications for plant production. As the reproductive organ of plants, flowers are the prerequisite for fruit production and determine the production of seeds. The transition to reproductive growth at the right time is of great significance to plant reproduction[5,6]. Plant flowering time is influenced by various environmental factors[7]. Under adversity stress, plants will adjust the flowering time to achieve the best adaptive state, thereby obtaining maximum productivity[8]. Plants have complex regulatory mechanisms to determine whether to switch from vegetative growth to reproductive growth[9], and there are two outcomes in which flowering is either promoted or inhibited. In response to abiotic stresses, some plants choose to inhibit flowering leading to the growth stagnation and a slowdown in the metabolic rate. Others may directly speed up the flowering process through self-regulation, and quickly complete the life cycle to escape adversity[10,11], which usually depends on the intensity and type of abiotic stress and plant species[12]. Stress-induced flowering is beneficial to the preservation of species, and it has important biological significance like other pathways to induce flowering[13].

About 1,000 hectares of newly added saline-alkali land in the world are abandoned every year due to improper farming practices (statistics from the United States Department of Agriculture https://fda.nal.usda.gov/index.html)[14]. Salinity will cause up to 50% of land loss by mid-21st century[15]. Salinity is one of the serious abiotic stress factors[16], which seriously hinders the growth and development of plants and reduces crop yields[17,18]. The intensity of influences of salt stress on plant growth and development is mostly determined by the amount of salts in soil and therefore the effect of salt stress on plants also has a dose effect[19]. Phenotypes of salt-stressed plants including ion toxicity and difficulty in root water absorption often lead to cell metabolism disturbance[20,21], which can in turn affect enzyme activity and protein stability in plant cells. Plants slow down transpiration and protect photosynthetic apparatus via changing their own morphology and physiologic responses[22,23]. Meanwhile, plants can also change biochemical reactions and accumulate more osmotic substances in cells to deal with the harm caused by high osmotic pressure[24].

Salt stress can also disrupt the flowering timing and diminish the fertility of plants[25]. Across various plant species, the impacts of salt stress on flowering exhibit variations due to the intensity of the salt stress applied. Intense salt stress significantly postpones the flowering time of Arabidopsis[26]. Salt stress causes delayed flowering, hampered fruit ripening, and decreased fruit quality in pepper[27]. Similarly, in chickpea, salt stress has been linked to delayed flowering and reduced fertility[28]. Studies on cotton have revealed that salt stress delays flowering and results in reduced yield[29]. Conversely, in many
annual ornamental plants, salt stress has been found to advance and shorten the flowering period\(^{20}\). However, Iris plants have exhibited delayed flowering under salt stress condition\(^{21}\). The impact of salt stress on rice has resulted in reduced fertility and even failure of seed setting\(^{22}\). These instances further highlight the comprehensive influences of salt stress on flower development, fertility, and the overall plant productivity which should be probed case by case.

Currently, it has been ascertained that pathways including vernalization, photoperiod, circadian clock, sugar, age, thermal perception, autonomic response, and gibberellin (GA) signaling pathways all contribute to the regulation of plant flowering\(^{33,34}\). In tomato, there are two flowering signal integrators, FA and SFT, corresponding to the LFY and FT genes in Arabidopsis, playing parallel roles in the regulation of tomato flowering\(^{35}\). Previous studies have shown that LEAFY can regulate the transformation of meristematic tissue into floral organs and integrate flowering signals to control the flowering time in Arabidopsis\(^{36,37}\). The tomato FA gene is a homolog of the FLORICAULA gene in snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus) and the LEAFY gene in Arabidopsis. The homologous FA gene in tomato plays a crucial role in integrating flowering signals, controlling the transformation of meristematic tissue into floral organs, and regulating the timing of flowering, making it an important flowering signal integrator\(^{48}\). Another flowering signal integrator is FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT). It is transported via vascular bundles to the shoot apical meristem (SAM) and promotes the transition of plants from vegetative to reproductive growth\(^{39,40}\). Homologous genes of FT have been identified in various species as integral components of the flowering regulatory pathway, responsible for orchestrating plant flowering. However, distinct functional variations have been observed among different plant species\(^{41}\). As a diurnal neutral plant, tomato flowering is not regulated by photoperiod\(^{42}\). Research shows that SINGLE-FLOWER TRUSS (SFT), the tomato homolog of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), encoded in tomato and tobacco, induces flowering in day-neutral plants\(^{43}\). Experiments on tomato SFT overexpression plants grafted with wild-type plants proved that SFT is a direct factor in the induction of shoot apical flower bud differentiation through long-distance transport of vascular bundles in tomato and tobacco\(^{44}\). Under nutrient stress, petunia induces flowering through the induction of PnFT2. These studies imply that FT and its homologous genes may be involved in the process of plant flowering under abiotic stress\(^{45}\).

Plant growth is a coordinated process of mitosis and cell division, which is known as the cell cycle progress\(^{46}\). In plants, cell cycle is divided into four phases: G1, S, G2, and M, regulated by different cyclin (Cyc) proteins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) working in cooperation\(^{47,48}\). The G1/S and G2/M transitions are two crucial checkpoints in the cell cycle that ensure normal cell cycle, holding significant importance\(^{49,50}\). Salt stress can affect the transcription and protein activity of cyclins and CDKs, which in turn can regulate the cell cycle process, influence cell division in meristematic tissues and consequently impact organ morphology. In previous studies, it has been observed that salt stress can directly reduce the activity of CDKs and CycB1;2 promoter in Arabidopsis\(^{51}\). Under moderate salt stress conditions, the expression levels of regulatory genes for the G2/M checkpoint in Arabidopsis, such as CycB1;2 and CycB1;4, are significantly reduced\(^{52}\).

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) is recognized as a popular vegetable crop with great cash values worldwide. Studies have shown that salt stress has negative effects on tomato growth and yield but sometimes it can also improve tomato quality\(^{53}\). Nonetheless, the way tomatoes adapt their transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth under varying degrees of salt stress remains a mystery. Comprehending the implications of salt stress on the growth and development of tomato, strategically harnessing salt stress to manage tomato flowering, and enhancing the quality of tomato fruits hold crucial importance within the realm of tomato industry practices. Consequently, delving into the mechanisms governing tomato flower development under salt stress assumes paramount significance in advancing tomato production.

In this study, we probed alterations in flower development in the salt-tolerant tomato cultivar ‘Micro Tom’ treated with varying levels of salt stress. The overall developmental progression of tomato flower organ was hindered by salt treatment. Relative to the control group, with the increase in the concentrations of NaCl solutions, tomato plants produced lesser amounts of flowers. We further analyzed plants treated with 150mM NaCl and confirmed that salt stress significantly repressed flower sizes, which in turn led to the yield reduction. We also noticed that salt stress inhibited the transcriptions of SICYCA2;1, SICYCB1;2 and SICYCB1;4, leading to the slightly increased levels of endocycle in sepal and stamen. Furthermore, noteworthy shifts were observed in the flowering transition of tomatoes. Tomato seedlings subjected to NaCl solutions of 50mM, 100 mM, and 150 mM respectively displayed distinct instances of accelerated flowering. Remarkably, the group treated with a NaCl concentration of 100 mM showed the most pronounced early flowering phenomenon in comparison to the control group. Simultaneously, through cluster analysis and principal component analysis of physiological data and flowering parameters of salt-treated tomato seedlings and RT-qPCR data of tomato leaves and data collected from the SISFT silencing plants, we found that the mechanism driving the salt-induced early flowering in tomato was orchestrated through the SISFT. In summary, distinct levels of salt stress reduced biomass, and influenced the flower development of tomato seedlings which could be related to the disturbed cell cycle. Tomato seedlings under low-intensity salt stress respond by upregulating the expression of SFT, thereby triggering an earlier flowering stage, and hastening the overall lifecycle progression.

**Material and Method**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

Tomato cultivar ‘Micro-Tom’ seeds were obtained from Tomato Genetics Resource Center (https://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/) and propagated in growth chamber. Seeds were sterilized in 75% alcohol for 1 min and 20% NaClO solution for 15 min, washed with autoclaved water for three times, and planted on plain or full-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 0.7% agar. When the cotyledons of the tomato seedlings were fully expanded, the seedlings were transplanted to cylindrical pots with a diameter of 8 cm and cultured in a matrix of vermiculite, peat and perlite at the ratio of 1:3:1. All seedlings were grown in growth chamber at 22 °C with 8-hour dark and 25 °C with 16-hour light condition under the light intensity of 15000 lux.
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Treatment with NaCl
A NaCl stock solution (4 M) was prepared by distilling 233.76g of NaCl into one liter of deionized water. The NaCl stock solution was diluted to concentrations of 50 mM, 100 mM, 150 mM, and 200 mM with deionized water before use. At the three-leaf stage, for each experimental group, 100ml or so NaCl solution at specific concentration was applied to each tomato seedling and the treatment was conducted for three times over the course of five days. For the control, approximately 100 ml ddH2O were applied to individual plants three times in five days. Each experimental group had six tomato seedlings as six biological replicates.

Gene express analysis
Total RNA from leaves was extracted by RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa, Otsu, Japan, cat. #9109) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The first strand complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis using 2 µg total RNA was performed following instructions provided with HiScript III RT Super Mix kit with gDNA wiper (Vazyme, cat. #R323–01) for RT-qPCR analysis and HiScript III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit with gDNA wiper (Vazyme, cat. #R711–01) for full-length gene cloning. The actin encoding gene SAATCH (Solvency3g078400) were used as reference genes for reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) data normalization. Four microliter of cDNA templates were used to RT-qPCR analysis, respectively. All primers were designed by NCBI Primer-BLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) and listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Measurement of plant height and stem diameter
Tomato seedlings after treatments were photographed first and ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) was then used to measure the plant height and stem diameter.

Leaf photosynthesis measurement
The net photosynthetic rate of the fifth leaf of tomato was measured via Portable Photosynthesis System (CIRAS-3, PP-Systems) from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. on sunny day. The light intensity and CO2 concentration in the leaf chamber of CIRAS-3 were set up at 1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 400 ppm, respectively. Six biological replicates were measured for each treatment.

Chlorophyll Fluorescence determination
From 9 am to 12 am on a sunny day, we used a Pulse Modulated Fluorometer (FMS-2, Hansatech-instruments) to measure the effective photochemical quantum yield of PS II (ΦPS II) and the maximum photochemical quantum yield of PS II (Fv/Fm). We first measured the effective photochemical quantum yield of PS II using the PS II-800 mode. After the measurement was completed, the plants were reacted in the dark for 30 minutes and then the maximum photochemical quantum yield of PS II was measured using the Fv/Fm mode.

Chlorophyll Measurements
We used a portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD–502, Konica Minolta Sensing) to measure leaf greenness of the tomato seedlings at three weeks after treatment. For each plant measurements were taken six locations on the fifth leaf, two on each side of the midrib on all fully expanded leaves and then averaged[54].

Nuclear DNA ploidy analyses
After a three-week treatment with a 150mM NaCl solution, tomato floral organs were dissected into four tissues including sepals, petals, stamens, and pistils. Samples were subjected to Nuclear DNA ploidy analysis using flow cytometry[55]. The experimental steps are outlined as follows. a) Chop plant tissues thoroughly in the lysis buffer with a razor-blade to release the nuclei. b) Filter the lysate through a 48µm mesh sieve. c) Add 50 mg/ml propidium iodide (PI) staining solution to the filtrate before conducting flow cytometry analysis. Endocyte index (EI) was calculated to indicated the level of endocycle in various plant tissues.

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of SISFT
We conducted VIGS experiments using the pTRV1 and pTRV2GW vectors with appropriate modifications[56]. Including the following steps. a) Analyze the gene CDS sequence using the VIGS tool on the Sol Genomics Network to match it with suitable VIGS sequences[57]. B) After transforming Ptv1 and Ptv2GW-gene into Agrobacterium GV3101, positive single clones were screened. The transformed Agrobacterium were cultured in LB liquid medium containing antibiotics. After centrifugation of the bacterial suspension, the pellet was resuspended in infiltration solution containing 100 Mm MES, 100 Mm MgCl2, and 100 Mm AS. The Ptv1 and Ptv2GW-gene cultures were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and incubated in the dark for 2 hours. c) Inoculate the mixture of Agrobacterium cultures into tomato seedlings using a vacuum pump for vacuum-infiltration. After two-day dark incubation at 18 °C, transfer the seedlings to normal light conditions for cultivation. After ten days, use qRT-PCR to detect gene expression. SISFT silencing plants were treated with 150 mM NaCl, and analyzed for salt-stressed phenotypes.

Date analysis
All data were recorded in excel, and each set of data has at least six biological replicates. Data were analyzed using the least significant difference (LSD) with Data Processing System (DPS). The P value of statistical difference between the experimental groups was less than 0.05. All statistical results were performed in GraphPad Prism (https://www.graphpad-prism.cn/).

Clustering analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed in R Studio Version 1.1.456 (https://www.rstudio.com) with R version 3.5.2 using gplots (https://www.cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/) and factoextra (https://www.cran.r-project.org/web/packages/factoextra/) packages, respectively.

Results
Salt stress affected the flowering time of tomato
To explore the effect of salt treatment on tomato flowering time, we counted the days to the first flowering of tomato seedlings after NaCl solution treatment and found that the flowering time of tomato plants was altered under salt stress (Fig. 1a, b). Due to the dose-dependent nature of salt stress, the impact of salt solutions of different concentrations on the flowering time of tomatoes varies. Our research indicates that treatment with NaCl solutions in the range of 50-150mM resulted in a noticeable early flowering phenotype in tomatoes. However, once the NaCl solution concentration reaches 200 mM, there is a significant inhibition of flowering in tomato seedlings. We then counted the number of flower (Fig. 1c), and the number of spray (Fig. 1d) of each tomato seedlings. Among them, the
flowering parameters of the tomato seedlings treated with 50mM, 100mM, and 150mM NaCl solution were significantly different from those control group and 200mM group. The imposition of high-intensity salt stress had significantly disrupted the physiological processes within tomato seedlings, thereby severely impeding their overall growth and developmental progress. Furthermore, due to the influence of salt stress on various physiological activities of tomato seedlings, the accumulation of biomass in tomato plants was reduced. Consequently, this reduction in biomass leads to a decrease in the number of floral primordia and the number of branches in tomato plants (Fig.1c, d).

**SFT is involved in the early flowering of tomato induced by salt stress**

SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1), LEAFY (LFY) and FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene can integrate signals of various flowering pathways as a downstream signaling protein to promote flowering in *Arabidopsis* [36,39,40,58]. In tomato, there are only two flowering signal integrators, SIFA and SISFT, which function in parallel in the integration of flowering signals. *SIFA* is a homolog of *LEAFY*, while *SISFT* is a homolog of *FT*. In tomato, double mutants of *sifa* and *sisft* did not produce floral organs during their year-long growth [59].

We speculate that the *SISFT* gene or *SIFA* gene may be involved in the salt-stress-induced early flowering in tomato. In order to verify this hypothesis, we took the second true leaf (fully opened and mature) from 3-leaves-age and non-flowering tomato seedlings which were treated with NaCl solutions for 12 hours and used reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) to verify gene transcription level. The results showed that salt stress significantly change the expression of *SFT* and *FA* (Fig. 2a, b). The changes in the expression levels of *SISFT* were consistent with the flowering time of tomato seedlings treated with salt stress. The expression levels of *SIFA* were inhibited as the concentration of the NaCl solution increased, which did not correspond to the flowering phenotype. As *AP1* is a direct target of *SFT*, we also measured the expression level of *SIAP1* in the shoot apex (Fig. 2c). The expression of *AP1* coincided with the expression of *SFT*.
This further confirms that the SFT-AP1 pathway integrates the early flowering signal induced by salt stress, promoting early flowering in tomato plants.

**SISFT silencing plants showed the late flowering phenotype**

We generated SISFT silencing plants (TRV2-SISFT) via VIGS (Fig. 3a), along with empty vector control (TRV2), and validated the expression of SISFT using qRT-PCR (Fig. 3b). Under the normal growth condition, TRV2-SISFT exhibited a delayed flowering phenotype compared to TRV2. We then subjected TRV2-SISFT plants to salt treatment and collected relevant flowering data. Through statistical analysis of flowering times, we found that the TRV2-SISFT plants did not exhibit early flowering after salt treatment, whereas the TRV2 plants showed significant early flowering after salt treatment (Fig. 3c). This further indicates that low concentrations of salt stress induce early flowering in tomatoes by promoting the transcription of SFT.

**Salt stress affected the cell cycle progression of sepals and petals**

The progress of the cell cycle in plants affects the division and expansion of cells, thereby affecting the size of plant organs[60]. Studies have shown that osmotic stress can reduce cell division rate and cell number in meristem[61]. In our study, we observed significant changes in the size of tomato floral organs in 150 mM NaCl treated plants relative to the control (Fig. 4a). Salt stress dramatically repressed the growth of flowers leading to shorter pistils and the smaller sepals, petals and stamens (Fig. 4b). Meanwhile, the fresh weight of floral organs also reduced in salt stressed plants (Fig. 4c). Nuclear DNA ploidy distribution in pistils, sepals, petals and stamens was conducted. Surprisingly, we found that salt stress had a greater impact on tomato sepals and petals, with an increased content of 4C nuclei and a significantly higher value of endocycle index (Ei), which indicated that salt stress might promote endoreduplication (Fig. 4d, e). On the other hand, salt stress had trivial effects on the nuclear DNA ploidy distribution of stamens and pistils, and their Ei values did not change significantly. To be noted, the stamens of tomato flower did undergo an active endoreduplication under normal growth condition indicated by higher relative abundances of endoreduplicated nuclei such as 8C, 16C and 32C. However, after salt stress, the endoreduplication level in stamen did not change, so did the pistil. These data suggested that the cell cycle progression in various floral tissues responded differently to salt stress.

**Salt stress disrupts the regular floral cell cycle by interfering with the transcription of cyclins**

Previous research indicates that cyclins and CDKs play crucial roles in adaptation to salt stress[62]. Under salt stress conditions, the promoter activity of CycA2;1, CycB1;1, CycB1;2, and CycB1;4 was reduced, leading to a decrease in their transcription levels, which in turn resulted in a deceleration of plant growth[63,64]. Correspondingly, cyclins play vital roles in re-configuring plant morphology under salt stress. We conducted gene expression analyses to verify the relationship between the cell cycle alterations in petals and sepals and cyclin proteins (Fig. 5). Data indicated that the expression levels of SiCycA2;1 and SiCycB1;2 in the tomato floral organs were reduced to varying degrees (Fig. 5a, c). CycA and CycB are key proteins that influence the G2/M checkpoint, and salt stress hinders the transition at the G2/M checkpoint. This resulted in an increase in the 4C content in petals and sepals, leading to significant reductions in the size of petal and sepal tissues and substantial changes in the morphology of the floral organs.

**Salt stress caused yield reduction**

We further investigated whether the salt-stress-induced early flowering could affect the development of tomato fruits (Fig. 6). Salt stress significantly reduced the yield of tomato (Fig. 6a, b). Given that salt stress did not change the number of total fruits (Fig. 6c), our results implied the reduction of yield was mainly caused by much smaller fruits (Fig. 6d–f). Moreover, the fact that salt stress did not influence the total fruit number of tomato (Fig. 6c) indicated that mild salt stress did not suppress the fruit set of tomato; rather, it only affected fruit development by influencing the accumulation of biomass.

To better understand the flowering time of tomato seedlings under salinity stress, clustering analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed based on all phenotypic data we collected. Control and mild salt stress treatments were
grouped together indicating that the physiological changes of tomato plants in responding to salt stress was dosage dependent (Fig. 7a). Moreover, according to PCA (Fig. 7b), the separation between the 200mM NaCl treatment and other treatments was driven bloom time (BT), indicating that salt stress could regulate the responses of plants to salt stress via affecting flowering time.

**Discussion**

With the deterioration of the global environment, the increasing extreme climate, and the increasingly harsh soil conditions, plants will encounter various abiotic stresses in their life cycle. Due to the sessile nature of plants, plants can only cope with the adverse effects of abiotic stress by regulating physiological and biochemical reactions and metabolic regulation in vivo. Under abiotic stress, plants can avoid abiotic stress by regulating their own transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth, producing offspring as soon as possible, thereby shortening their life cycle. At the same time, when the intensity of stress surpasses the threshold that plants can tolerate, they will either experience stagnation or succumb to direct mortality. In previous studies, the regulation of reproductive growth transitions adopted by various plants in the face of abiotic stresses has been reported. Under nutrient deficiency, the vegetative growth of petunia is suppressed and the expression of \( PnFT2 \) is induced to promote flowering and produce fertile seeds\(^{[45]} \). Starvation stress promotes early flowering of \( \text{Lemna paucicostata} \)\(^{[65]} \). Under drought stress, wheat avoids abiotic stress by flowering early through the mechanism of drought escape (DE)\(^{[66]} \). Under cold stress, \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) induces excessive flowering via promoting \( \text{miR156} \)\(^{[67]} \). Here, through the salt treatment of tomato seedlings, we found that low-intensity salt stress inhibited the growth and normal physiological and biochemical processes of tomato, promoted the expression of \( SFT \) to make tomato flower early.

Under various levels of salt stress, tomato’s vegetative growth was hindered. Reproductive growth, being a pivotal aspect of the plant’s life cycle, is also subject to salt stress. The transformation of plants to reproductive growth depends on the normal vegetative growth process. Salt stress affects the balance of hormone and transpiration make plants suffer from
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Effects of salt stress on the cell cycle progress in various tomato floral tissues. (a, b) Phenotypes of the whole tomato floral organ (a) and various floral tissues (b) treated with 150mM NaCl. (c) Fresh weight of tomato floral organs treated with 150mM NaCl. (d) Nuclear DNA ploidy distribution in sepal, petals, pistil and stamen of tomato flower. 4C, 8C, 16C, and 32C stand for the endoreduplicated nuclei. Endocycle index (EI) values in red are located on the top of columns. The present data represents the average values from at least three biological replicates, with error bars indicating the standard error. Statistical analysis was performed using DPS software, and differences between treatments were considered statistically significant when denoted by distinct letters above the bars, as determined by the LSD Test (p < 0.05). (e) Flowcytometric analysis of endopolyploidy in various floral tissues.

Serious ion poisoning. All of these affect the normal vegetative growth process of plants, damage the photosynthetic mechanism of plants, weaken photosynthesis, make it difficult for plants to produce and accumulate carbohydrates, and change the metabolic process of plants, which all reflected in the process of plant growth and development.

We notice that the delayed flowering phenotype of SLSFT silencing plants was more severe under salt stress. Meanwhile, plants treated with 200mM NaCl had much later flowering time and the significantly repressed expressions of SISFT and SIAP1. These data combined suggest that salt stress has a systematic impact on tomatoes. Under high concentrations of salt stress, the increased osmotic potential in soil makes it difficult for plants to absorb water and nutrients, thereby affecting the overall physiological and metabolic activities which can lead to changes in gene expression. As mentioned above, plants only make the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth when there's sufficient energy. High concentration of salt stress inhibits normal physiological processes, preventing plants from accumulating enough energy. All of these disruptions combined with the altered expressions of flowering-related genes will ultimately change the plant development as well as the flowering process.

In order to analyze the specific effects of salt stress on the development of each part of tomato flower organs, we dissected tomato flower organs and nuclear DNA ploidy analyses each part of tomato flower organs by flow cytometry. Under the treatment of tomato seedlings with 150mM NaCl solution, the morphology of tomato flower was fully developed, but the size of flower organs changed significantly, and under higher
concentration of salt stress, the flower organs were deformed. To observe the effect of salt stress on the development of tomato floral organs from a microscopic point of view, we measured the cell cycle of each part of tomato floral organs by flow cytometry to reflect the cell division of floral organ tissues. From the analysis of the experimental results, we found that salt stress did not affect the normal cell division of tomato stamens and pistils, which indicates that tomato will preserve the normal DNA replication and cell division process of reproductive organs under salt stress to ensure that plants can produce fertile seeds. Unlike pistil and stamen, petals and sepals experienced a significant increase in the endoreduplication, interfering with normal cell division. This may directly affect the accumulation of floral organ biomass. However, the development of floral organs after treatment with high concentration NaCl solution was directly inhibited, and the normal morphology of floral organs could not be formed, which also indicated that the ability of tomato to tolerate salt stress to...
ensure normal floral organ development was limited. The cell division and mitosis that make up the cell cycle in plant tissues play a crucial role in shaping the morphology of plants to adapt to their environment. Within the cell cycle, two key checkpoints, G1/S and G2/M, are of paramount importance, and cyclins and CDKs play a critical role in the proper progression of these checkpoints. In previous studies, it has been observed that the transcription of cyclins and CDKs is influenced by salt stress, which hinders the normal cell cycle progression. Based on our analysis of the various parts of the floral organs under salt stress, we have found a significant increase in content of 4C nuclei in the petals and sepals compared to the control group. This indicates that salt stress affects the G2/M checkpoint in sepals and petals, a critical phase of the cell cycle. Salt stress slows down the cell division in these tissues while DNA replication continues, resulting in the increased 4C content and EI values. However, the cell cycle progression in two sexual organs including pistils and stamens at this stage did not respond to salt stress. This indicates that under low-concentration salt stress, plants preserve normal cell division in reproductive organs to ensure the normal progression of sexual reproduction. In our investigation of CycA2;1, CycB1;1, CycB1;2, and CycB1;4 whose transcriptions are affected by salt stress, we observed a reduction in promoter activity for CycA2;1 and CycB1;2 in the floral organs. This further substantiates that salt stress impacts the normal cell cycle progression in the floral organs by affecting the transcription of CycA2;1 and CycB1;2, leading to significant alterations in the size of petal and sepal tissues.

The regulation of the SFT gene expression in tomatoe involves multiple pathways, and it is worthy to note that tomato’s flowering response is not significantly influenced by variations in light duration due to its diurnal nature. When tomato seedlings reach the stage of flowering maturity, salt stress prompts the increased expression of SFT, consequently resulting in the early onset of tomato flowering. However, this enhancement occurs exclusively under conditions of low-intensity salt stress. Interestingly, the expression of SFT is suppressed when confronted with higher levels of salt stress. Due to the complex salt stress responsive mechanism in plants, we believe that there may be more complex molecular mechanisms that coordinate the regulation of the effects of salt stress on tomato flowering time.

As the expanse of saline-alkali land continues to grow, salt stress has emerged as a pivotal abiotic stress factor with global implications, impacting plant growth, development, and subsequently diminishing agricultural yields. The utilization of saline-alkali land has thus evolved into a pressing concern that necessitates thoughtful consideration and solutions. Mild salt stress impeded the growth and development of tomatoes, yet paradoxically expedited their flowering period and enhanced the fruit quality.[53] In this study, we unveiled a molecular mechanism governing the shift in flowering time in tomatoes when exposed to salt stress. Our experiments demonstrated that under mild salt stress, tomato exhibited early flowering through the upregulation of SFT expression. This resulted in a shortened tomato life cycle while maintaining the unperturbed cell division of pistils and stamens, thereby ensuring successful seed production. Hence, we posit that SFT, in conjunction with other molecular regulatory mechanisms, collectively contributes to the orchestration of tomato flowering time in response to salt stress. Therefore, manipulating the expression of SFT in tomatoes holds the potential to alter their flowering time under salt stress conditions. This has considerable implications for enhancing tomato production on saline-alkaline lands.

Salt stress affects the development of floral organs and the transition to flowering, both of which are crucial for plant yield. However, studies on tomato floral transition and flower development under salt stress condition are limited. In our research, we have made a notable discovery that salt stress influences tomato flowering transition by altering the transcription of SFT. Moreover, we found that mild salt stress accelerates the flowering process in tomatoes, a finding that has significant implications for agricultural production. Notably, the application of low levels of salt stress does not disrupt the cell cycle processes of both the pistil and stamen, ensuring the normality of sexual reproduction. Salt stress, however, results in a reduction in the biomass of tomato floral organs and significantly diminishes the size of sepals and petals through its impact on CycA2;1 and CycB1;2 transcription. This study contributes to our comprehension of the molecular mechanisms governing flowering transition and floral organ development in tomatoes under salt stress and holds substantial importance for tomato cultivation in saline-alkaline soil.
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